Report



Fleming Fund: supporting surveillance capacity for antimicrobial resistance

An analysis of approaches to laboratory capacity strengthening for drug resistant infections in low and middle income countries

> Russell Dacombe, Imelda Bates, Minakshi Bhardwaj, Selina Wallis and Justin Pulford

> > Capacity Research Unit Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

> > > June 2016

This is an independent study commissioned by the Wellcome Trust and funded by the Department of Health as part of the Fleming Fund





Contents

Α.	Ex	ecutive Summary 2
Β.	Int	troduction 4
C.	M 1. 2.	ethodology5Literature search51.1 Search Strategy51.2 Model identification51.3 Data Extraction5Key Informant Interviews5
D.	Fir 1. 2. 3.	ndings6Overview of laboratory capacity strengthening models presented in the literature6Models focused on the individual level.6Models focused on the institutional (i.e. laboratory) level.73.1 Laboratory capacity strengthening components83.2 Challenges in achieving and maintaining accreditation103.3 Impact123.4 Costs associated with laboratory accreditation123.5 Available tools and support for accreditation133.6 Limitations of the laboratory accreditation process14Models focused on societal (i.e. national, regional and international) level15
		4.1 Engagement with policy makers.154.2 'Gap analysis 'assessments of laboratories within a network.164.3 Upgrading of quality management systems, laboratory infrastructure16and human resources164.4 Challenges.19
Refe	ere	nces
Ann Ann stuc	ex ex lies	 Data from Key Informant Interviews
the	lite	erature
Ann	ex	4: Quality system elements of ISO15189 accreditation
Ann	ex	5: Stepwise Laboratory Improvement Process Towards Accreditation

A. Executive Summary

The purpose of this study was to identify and compare in broad terms laboratory capacity strengthening models in low and middle income countries (LMICs) focusing on enablers and barriers to success in relation to anti-microbial resistance (AMR) surveillance in different contexts. There is very little published information that focuses specifically on laboratory models for AMR surveillance. These models will require a combination of general approaches to strengthening the capacity of laboratories and their systems and networks, coupled with specific microbiological and other techniques needed for AMR. Due to the lack of AMR-specific information we sought information from electronic databases of publications from 1996-2016. This data was supplemented by interviews with key informants with relevant expertise including in AMR surveillance, microbiology and laboratory systems to provide in-depth information about the various types of AMR surveillance laboratory activities, outcomes and challenges, and sustainability issues.

A data extraction matrix was used to capture the information necessary to analyse the various LMIC laboratory capacity strengthening models identified in the literature. Models were grouped according whether they were focused on individuals, institutions/laboratories and or the higher societal (i.e. national, regional and international) level. For individual staff the predominant model for enhancing their skills was training. This included through short courses focused on specific diseases such as malaria, or on generic skills such as tracking test accuracy. Repeated training in conjunction with regular supervision appeared to be effective at improving the skills of individual laboratory staff.

The majority of programmes aimed improving the effectiveness of laboratories as institutions were focused on HIV or tuberculosis and were funded by external agencies. These programmes mostly aimed to achieve accreditation for the laboratory against international standards (generally, ISO15189 for clinical laboratories and ISO 17025 for veterinary laboratories).

The types of topics covered which are all relevant for AMR surveillance included policies, laboratory management and planning, accreditation, quality systems and monitoring, laboratory capacity gaps, buildings, equipment, and human resource management and development. Successfully accredited laboratories had all appointed a quality officer or unit to guide and monitor the process of accreditation. The financial cost of an individual laboratory to achieve accreditation varied but was approximately £50,000 - £150,000. There are several resources available to support the accreditation process for clinical and veterinary laboratories including a stepwise improvement process which can help laboratories to monitor their graduated progress in implementing quality systems.

Infrastructure upgrading was often a costly and time-consuming component of strengthening laboratory capacity especially for those needing high specifications such as biosafety level 3. The associated costs and complexity mean that only a few tertiary level facilities are able to achieve international accreditation and it is beyond the reach of most lower level laboratories where the bulk of the workload is incurred. The lack of accrediting bodies within many LMICs is also a barrier to timely accreditation and the increase in laboratories seeking accreditation has placed a strain on the few existing accrediting bodies in some regions such as South Africa.

Despite the challenges to achieving accreditation, it has many benefits relevant for AMR surveillance. These include a decrease in wastage of laboratory reagents (1)which can contribute to offsetting the cost of accreditation, a reduction in complaints, increased demand for services, and improvements in pre-analytical, analytical and post analytical metrics. In contrast to the recent effort that has gone into achieving accreditation in LMIC laboratories, there is very little published evidence on how to sustain accreditation status logistically and financially and more work is needed to document the logistics and costs and to balance this against the benefits, particularly in the context of AMR surveillance.

For models that focused on 'societal' level – i.e. the creation, consolidation or expansion, of national, regional or international laboratory networks – the following factors emerged as important: engagement with policymakers, assessments of laboratories participating in a network, upgrading of infrastructure, staff and systems, standardisation of methods, equipment and servicing, accreditation and regulation, and network coordination and communication. The WHO HIVResNet Drug Resistance Laboratory network provides an example that may be useful for AMR surveillance. This international network involves three tiers with the highest level supra-national laboratories setting standards, and providing a specialist testing service (e.g. genotyping) and technical assistance to other laboratories in the network which themselves are selected according to pre-defined criteria.

Overall the models we have identified, which are mostly from disease-specific programmes, suggest that a combination of training, supervision, site visits and panel testing for laboratories will provide the best way of ensuring an effective AMR surveillance system. To achieve this, the laboratories need to train, retain and motivate skilled staff. Each laboratory should operate within a tiered laboratory network with clarity around reporting channels, and the roles and responsibilities of all those involved. Strong commitment by government is needed to establish and coordinate an effective AMR surveillance system across a country, to ensure appropriate linkages with international bodies and to coordinate activities of the private laboratories and external donors.

Fleming Fund: supporting surveillance capacity for antimicrobial resistance

An analysis of approaches to laboratory capacity strengthening for drug resistant infections in low and middle income countries

B. Introduction

The purpose of this study was to identify and compare in broad terms laboratory capacity strengthening models in low and middle income countries (LMICs) focusing on enablers and barriers to success in relation to anti-microbial resistance surveillance in different contexts. This report covers six activities:

- 1. Identify laboratory-strengthening models through a systematic review of the published and grey literature and through consultation with existing contacts in LMICs and relevant research and development organisations.
- 2. Assess the strengths and weaknesses of each laboratory capacity strengthening model against a study-specific evaluation matrix.
- 3. Produce a report comparing and contrasting each laboratory strengthening model according to the evaluation matrix, identifying contexts in which each model has been successful and presenting barriers and enablers present in different contexts.
- 4. Identify different approaches for monitoring emergence and spread of resistance in different country settings, including the range of baseline data gathered.
- 5. Assess the different approaches to monitoring resistance in each country and determine the best models and mechanisms for surveillance, capacity strengthening and training in the different country/regional settings.
- 6. Produce a report documenting the different approaches for monitoring emergence and spread of resistance in each country and present the best models and mechanisms for surveillance, capacity strengthening and training in each.

The short project duration necessitated a focus on broad, high-level data to provide an overview. We have supplemented this with more detailed data collection for selected countries and from individuals. Much of the information collected applies to general laboratory activities but is also relevant for surveillance systems. To provide more in-depth information about how different surveillance models operate in different contexts, we have conducted a comparison of antimicrobial surveillance systems based on site visits to three LMICs. These countries - Ghana, Nigeria and Nepal - were selected because they represented at least two different continents and included a 'fragile' state. (see separate LSTM CRU report 2016 'Supporting Surveillance Capacity for Antimicrobial Resistance: Regional Networks and Educational Resources')

C. Methodology

1. Literature Search

1.1 Search strategy

There are very few publications specifically focusing on anti-microbial resistance (AMR) surveillance laboratory activities, networks and systems. Publications with potential descriptions of, or references to, general laboratory capacity strengthening were therefore sought since these would also apply to AMR capacity and specific AMR-focused information was identified when available. Information was obtained from a search of the Medline, Web of Science, Global Health, PubMed, Google Scholar databases. The reference period for the search was January 1996 to June 2016. The search was limited to English language publications and was conducted using the following terms: laboratories, capacity strengthening, capacity building, scale up, accreditation, developing countries. Additional laboratory capacity strengthening publications were sought through a manual search of references listed in retrieved articles. A standard Google search was also conducted to identify the web presence of laboratory capacity strengthening initiatives and any associated documentation.

1.2 Model identification

Retrieved publications, documents or reports were examined for references to laboratory capacity strengthening (including AMR-specific programmes) in low-middle income countries (LMIC) context. In the first instance, publication/document/report titles, abstracts and key words were reviewed against the following inclusion criteria: were within the reference period and had been implemented in an LMIC. When all selection criteria were present, publications/documents/reports were kept for full text review or excluded if they did not meet all stipulated selection criteria. All laboratory capacity strengthening models identified during the course of the full text review that related to LMIC were recorded on a specifically designed excel spreadsheet. In addition, LSTM staff sent formal requests through their existing professional networks to identify relevant laboratory capacity strengthening initiatives. Key informants (described below) were also asked to identify relevant initiatives and documents. Any additional LMIC laboratory capacity strengthening models identified were added to the excel spreadsheet.

1.3 Data extraction

The research team developed and piloted a data extraction matrix designed to capture the information necessary to analyse each of the identified LMIC laboratory capacity strengthening models. The components of the data extraction matrix focused on specific topics for analysis including the geographical and political context, methodology used, enablers and barriers, indicators for success and the evidence for these indicators being met. Research team members reviewed all documents pertaining to each of the identified LMIC capacity strengthening models and mapped information onto the data extraction matrix.

2. Key Informant Interviews

Key informant interviews (KIIs) were conducted with purposively selected laboratory capacity strengthening experts from international agencies and practising senior laboratory staff (managers and scientists). Potential KIs were identified during the literature search, through existing professional networks and by other key informants (i.e. 'snowball' recruitment). An introductory email was sent to all prospective KIs informing them about the study aims, requesting their participation and then inviting them to identify a date and time for possible interview. Prospective KIs who did not respond to the email invitation were subsequently contacted by telephone, informed about the study and invited to participate. All interviews were conducted by telephone and

Skype and followed a specifically-designed structured topic guide. The topic guide covered experiences and examples from their direct involvement in laboratory capacity strengthening programmes, types of activities, outcomes and challenges of the programme, and sustainability issues. KIIs were audio recorded when possible and when permission was granted and detailed written notes taken. The recordings were used to check the accuracy of the handwritten notes. KII data were entered on a study specific excel spreadsheet for subsequent analysis (further information is in annex 1).

D. Findings

This section presents an overview of the findings including the major types of laboratory capacity strengthening models relevant for AMR that we found in the literature and through our expert interviews. The type of studies identified and their geographical coverage is summarised in annex 2. Models were grouped according to the three levels of operation for capacity strengthening, individuals, institutions (i.e. laboratories) and societal (i.e. national, regional and international) (2). Capacity strengthening models at lower organisational levels were often used as part of larger models at higher levels. For example, training is present in the majority of models at all organisational levels. In some cases, elements of some models at societal level were required to support lower level models. For example, international external quality assurance (societal) is required for accreditation (organisational).

1. Overview of laboratory capacity strengthening models presented in the literature.

Thirty thousand four hundred and eighty papers (including duplicates) we found after searching all five databases. Five hundred and thirty-three papers were selected for abstract review and sixty papers were selected for data extraction.

The methods used in the studies identified were either narrative, time series or 'before and after' the intervention, which means that the level of evidence was low or very low for the effectiveness of the models described. Many papers described the delivery of multiple components making the assessment of the relative effectiveness of each component difficult.

2. Models focused on the individual level

The predominant model for the capacity development of laboratory and related staff was training. Studies focused on individual level models are summarised in annex 3.

Training

Training of staff was often part of a larger capacity development model and will be discussed as part of those models. However, there were a number of papers that concentrated exclusively on delivering training. These are described below according to the type of training.

Field Epidemiology and Laboratory Training Programmes

Three papers looked at a specific programme, the Field Epidemiology and Laboratory Training Programme (FELTP). The first FELTP started in Kenya in 2003 as a 2-year regional public health leadership programme(3). It initially covered Kenya, South Sudan, Ghana and Tanzania but has now expanded to cover 15 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. This has been achieved by franchising the course to institutions in other countries; there are now 10 FELTPs. The course focuses on four major scientific domains: epidemiology, public health surveillance, biostatistics and scientific communication. Students undertake short and long term placements in public health.

The Nigerian FELTP was reviewed from 2008-14 (4) assessing numbers of students enrolled and their involvement in key public health activities (e.g. outbreak response, polio eradication and surveillance). The assessment also considered the number of papers presented at conferences and examples of grants awarded. This was considered to demonstrate that course graduates were being used by the health system but the impact of this involvement was not specified. The cost of each FELTP was estimated at US\$1-2 million comprising resident costs (e.g. research, books and tuition), programme costs (e.g. travel, supervision visits), technical support (CDC, Atlanta) and resident advisor salary (5).

Short courses

Two papers detailed short courses with specific outputs. On was an integrated management of malaria course (6) and one was to establish a system for monitoring the accuracy of results for commonly performed tests (7).

For the malaria course laboratory staff were assessed on the quality of the malaria slide and the sensitivity and specificity of the blood smear result. Participants were followed up at 6 weeks, 12 weeks and one year. All three indicators improved significantly at the first follow up and both sensitivity and specificity continued to improve up to one year. The evaluations were combined with support supervision visits which involved the reinforcement of training and helped to achieve the results.

For the course for monitoring accuracy, supervisors trained laboratory staff over 18 months in common tests. During the last 6 months the accuracy of 11 tests were monitored which showed improvement in the accuracy of all tests.

A third paper presented a web based training tool for improving the accuracy of immunohistochemistry. The study measured concordance between a US and Nigerian based institution after an initial exchange of samples. Web conferences were then held to discuss discrepancies between the two institutions. On a follow up exchange of samples concordance improved (8). A fourth paper looking at cytology training was purely a description of the course so it was not possible to assess an impact (9).

From these examples repeated training courses delivered in conjunction with regular supervision appear to be effective at improving the skills of individual laboratory staff.

3. Models focused on the institutional (i.e. laboratory) level

Studies that focused on strengthening laboratories (i.e. institutional level) areas summarised in annex 3. The majority of laboratory capacity strengthening papers focused on the testing and management of HIV or tuberculosis with funding primarily from USA sources (CDC and PEPFAR). The main focus of laboratory strengthening for individual laboratories was for tertiary medical laboratories to obtain and sustain ISO15189 accreditation. The core elements covered by ISO15189 are given in annex 4. For veterinary laboratories it was the related standard ISO 17025. Meeting the requirements set out in these standards means the laboratory has a functional Quality Management System (QMS) fit for use for medical/veterinary laboratories. QMS ensure that the services provided by an institution meet the requirements of the user. For diagnostic laboratories this focuses on accurate and timely results.

Capacity strengthening at primary or secondary level focused on improving the physical infrastructure and training staff in specific testing methodologies and good laboratory practice (GLP)

and the establishment of quality assurance systems (QA) to monitor the quality of service. The establishment of QA systems is covered in section 4.

Approaches to strengthening the capacity of laboratories used a combination of the following components:

- 1. Inclusion of capacity strengthening of laboratories in policy documents
- 2. Engagement of laboratory management
- 3. Gap analysis of laboratories' capacity
- 4. Improvement planning
- 5. Physical infrastructure upgrading (buildings and equipment)
- 6. Human resource upgrading (training, restructuring)
- 7. Developing quality management systems
- 8. Monitoring quality (internal and external)
- 9. Accreditation
- 10. Sustaining accreditation

The degree to which it was possible to implement these components depended in part on the size of the laboratory, managerial commitment, funding and external structures such as procurement and servicing. The details of each component are discussed in the following section.

3.1 Laboratory capacity strengthening components

3.1.1. Policy documents

Many elements required for laboratories to become successfully accredited (e.g. procurement, hiring staff) are often beyond the control of the laboratory and cannot be achieved without higher-level support. A favourable policy environment where national laboratory strategic plans and guidelines for ISO15189 accreditation are endorsed and supported politically and financially were important for success (10, 11). However factors such as the decentralisation of services and the fragmentation of responsibility for laboratory services across multiple groups or government departments can block the implementation of these policies (12). The presence of a steering or advisory group for medical laboratories is useful to support the process of accreditation(13).

3.1.2. Engagement of laboratory managers

Accreditation requires alterations in the management structure and oversight from senior management as well as full commitment from the laboratory management team and higher-level institutional managers. Laboratories that sought ISO15189 accreditation independently generally achieved it quicker (1),(14) that those that were encouraged by external partners (15) indicating that management commitment is an important factor in driving accreditation.

3.1.3. Gap analysis

The majority of laboratories report undergoing a gap analysis using an external auditor either procured from a commercial supplier or provided by a donor funded programme (e.g. PEPFAR). Some accreditation projects used self-assessment checklists combined with support from external experts through activities such as workshops to help interpret the data generated. Evidence suggests that external input is important since unsupported use of the self-assessment checklist might lead to erroneous interpretations of compliance to the standard (16).

A baseline gap analysis was seen as critical for enabling laboratories to prioritise and address gaps. Regular audits were generally used to assess progress. Most gap analyses focused on benchmarking current laboratory systems against quality standards such as ISO15189 or a national equivalent. Njelesani et al (17) developed a set of tools for identifying strengths and gaps in neglected tropical disease (NTD) regional laboratory systems. The tools incorporated ISO15189 standards but expanded this toolkit to document the laboratories' role in providing national and regional services to NTD control programmes (e.g. training and EQA) and participation in relevant networks and collaborations. This toolkit was implemented in four LMIC NTD laboratories to support the development of collaborative, individualised capacity strengthening plans and to track progress.

3.1.4. Improvement planning

Laboratories that achieved accreditation formulated plans to prioritise activities to meet the requirements of the standard. These plans were regularly revised as activities were conducted and the systems and capacity improved.

3.1.5. Physical infrastructure upgrading (buildings and equipment)

This component covers the construction and refurbishment of laboratory buildings at all levels of the health system. Improvements were made to accommodate new testing (e.g. molecular), stabilise utilities (i.e. electricity, water, communication), improve safety for staff and the public (e.g. signage and restricted access), environmental control (i.e. temperature and humidity), and to increase and modify space (e.g. to accommodate increased testing, specimen and record archiving, improve workflow and provide training).

This component includes equipping of laboratories to allow new or improved testing (e.g. automated blood culture), improved safety (e.g. fire extinguishers, autoclave) and security, introduced or expanded specimen and reagent storage (e.g. refrigerators and freezers), data transmission and storage (e.g. computers) and stabilised power supply (e.g. generator).

This infrastructure upgrading was often a very costly and time-consuming element of the process of capacity strengthening especially for laboratories needing a high specification, such as biosafety level 3 (18).

3.1.6. Human resource upgrading (training, restructuring)

Successfully accredited laboratories had all appointed a quality officer or unit to guide and monitor the process of accreditation. A full time quality manager was seen as important to drive the development of a QMS (11). This position is required by ISO15189 to be independent of the laboratory management structure, reporting directly to the head of the laboratory. ISO15189 also requires the establishment of other positions, such as a biosafety officer, all of which require significant investment in staff time and training.

A lack of detailed knowledge amongst laboratory staff and management around quality issues was commonly observed. Regular training for all staff was seen as important in establishing and maintaining a culture of quality within the laboratory (1), (19). In some cases an external advisory group was formed to guide and monitor progress (1). The WHO in collaboration with other partners has developed tools to support training in QMS (see section 3.5).

3.1.7. Developing and monitoring quality management systems

Once staff have received training and the management structure for QMS has been established, laboratories were able to put in place systems for monitoring and improving quality. Implementation was generally a stepwise process based on 'plan, do, act, and check' cycles characteristic of improvement planning (Section 3.1.4). Tools are available to support this process and examples are given in Section 3.5. Continuous benchmarking and formal documentation of progress against international standards could be a motivating factor for maintaining laboratories' commitment to progress to accreditation(11). Enrolment in international proficiency testing is a requirement of

ISO15189. International schemes can be expensive so some countries, such as Thailand, India, Jordan, Pakistan and the Caribbean region have established their own schemes (11, 16, 20-22).

3.1.8. Accreditation

3.1.8.1 Clinical Laboratories

ISO15189 was the most common standard used by laboratories seeking accreditation (23). Countries such as Thailand, India and Argentina have developed and introduced their own national standards based on ISO15189 (21). However, in Thailand only 80% of the standard's requirements have to be met to achieve accreditation, whereas for ISO15189 all have to be met. There were examples of both internally and externally initiated (e.g. donor) decisions to become accredited. Data from the literature indicated that accreditation took between 2-10 years with externally initiated processes taking longer. The lack of accrediting bodies within many LMICs is a barrier to timely accreditation. The increase in laboratories seeking accreditation has placed a strain on the accrediting bodies in some regions (e.g. South African National Accreditation System) and sourcing accreditation visits out of country also increases costs.

Other accreditation systems also exist such as the WHO accreditation scheme for polio laboratories and good clinical laboratory practice. Though the specifics of the standards vary they all have the same underlying principle of establishing a functional laboratory QMS.

3.1.8.2 Veterinary

Veterinary laboratories use the World Organisation for animal health (OIE)¹ standard (based on ISO 17025:2005) for accreditation but we could not find any published accounts of laboratories working towards this standard in LMIC. The OIE operates a twinning programme between its reference laboratories and LMIC partner laboratories. These projects address specific diseases but also broader issues such as improving diagnostic capacity. All projects are required to advance the partner laboratories to meet OIE standards. Currently LMIC with OIE accredited reference laboratories are: South Africa, Mexico, Argentina, Cuba, Thailand, Botswana, Senegal, Russia, Morocco, China, Brazil, India, Chile, Panama, Iran, Hungary.

3.2 Challenges in achieving and maintaining accreditation

In this section we present the challenges to achieving and maintaining accreditation present in the literature and raised by key informants. There is very little published evidence on how to sustain accreditation. The majority of published literature focuses on how laboratories can achieve accreditation, though as more laboratories become accredited more evidence may become available. Laboratories that did report on sustaining accreditation were private or donor funded (1), (14).

3.2.1 Adequate skilled staff

The process of accreditation is very labour intensive requiring the involvement of many staff in the development of documentation and increasing their time spent on recording requirements and other procedures. This, and the stringent infrastructure requirements, is partly the reason that ISO15189 accreditation has so far been limited to well-staffed tertiary level laboratories in LMICs.

The training given to laboratory staff to equip them to support accreditation also means they are highly attractive to other laboratories within the same sector and makes retention of these staff difficult (1). Skilled laboratory staff in many LMICs are in demand and there often exists a national market where both the private and non-governmental sector compete with the public sector for a

1

http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Support to OIE Members/docs/pdf/projects completed underway .pdf

small pool of staff (24). This movement of staff has been responsible for some laboratories being unable to maintain progress (25). However, if they can be retained, these staff are a valuable asset for maintaining accreditation. Performance-based financial incentives have been raised as a possible way to retain staff (26)

3.2.2 Equipment maintenance/servicing

Equipment maintenance is often highlighted as a barrier to achieving accreditation. Many countries lack in-country expertise required to service laboratory equipment and have to source expertise internationally which is expensive and can lead to delays in servicing(27). A recent survey of eight microbiology laboratories in Kenya, including two reference level facilities, indicated that none of them had services contracts in place(28).

Better training and retention of biomedical engineers in LMICs has been raised as a potential solution to this issue.(29) Three papers specifically focus on the training of biomedical engineers. Abimiku (30) et al describe centralised training of biomedical engineers to support the PEPFAR funded ACTION programme in Nigeria which supports HIV diagnosis and management. This periodic training was done in collaboration with manufacturers. No results on the impact of this on equipment function were presented.

Hamel et al (31)describe the training of biomedical engineers in Nigeria to support HIV diagnosis and care. In this intervention on-site engineers were trained and provided periodic scheduled maintenance of equipment. The engineers received additional specialist equipment training out of country. The programme was reported to reduce equipment downtime and manufacturer service call outs, and increased the timely use of test reagents.

Makin and Keane analysed equipment repair requests from 60 hospitals in 11 LMIC where US trained biomedical engineer volunteers had been placed(32). These volunteers were able to put 72% of equipment back into service without imported spare parts. 99% of repairs were covered by 6 domains of knowledge (electrical, mechanical, plumbing, installation/training, power supply and motors). They found that only 107 skills would be required to get 66% of equipment back into service without the use of imported spare parts and presented a simplified training curriculum. Though this programme was not focused on laboratories, many items of equipment critical to an AMR laboratory were listed (e.g. microscopes, incubators, autoclaves). Investment in biomedical engineering capacity would have a wider impact on hospital services in addition to AMR and reduce costs associated with equipment malfunctions. However there is a risk of high turnover of trained staff highlighted by Abimiku et al(30).

3.2.3. Procurement systems

The majority of laboratories in the public sector in LMICs do not have control over procurement. For those that do, a lack of in-country suppliers for specialist equipment and stringent and complex procurement regulations can result in very long lead times (1, 11). It is recommended that this be assessed as part of any initial capacity gap analysis (15).

3.2.4 Funding

Laboratories that have achieved accreditation have either been private or donor funded laboratories. For the laboratory accreditation process to be successful it is important that the total cost of achieving accreditation is guaranteed up front. The large variability in time and resources required for laboratories to achieve accreditation makes securing these funds difficult. Also without direct budgetary control, the efficiency savings gained by implementing a QMS may not be properly documented or passed onto the laboratory.

3.3 Impact

A number of impacts from laboratory accreditation are described in the literature and these are summarised below.

3.3.1. Reduction of wastage

Accredited laboratories report a decrease in wastage of laboratory materials such as reagents (1) that can contribute to, or entirely offset, the cost of accreditation (14).

3.3.2. Reduction in complaints

The improvements in reporting times and the reliability and accuracy of results has been attributed to a reduction in complaints. In Kenya, a reduction of 82% in the number of complaints was observed in the first 12 months after accreditation in Kisumu (1) and a similar reduction occurred at the Aga Khan hospital (14).

3.3.3. Improvement in pre-analytical, analytical and post analytical metrics.

Laboratories report significant improvements in these metrics (1), (14), (33). This is unsurprising as the purpose of a QMS is to monitor and improve these metrics.

3.3.4. Increase in demand for services

Laboratories report an increase in demand for services due to a perceived improvement in the quality of service(1).

3.3.6 Improved human resources

As well as the generation of a highly skilled workforce in the laboratory, accreditation was noted to have fostered a better relationship between the laboratory and clinicians(14). This was thought to be due to the emphasis in the accreditation process on establishing clear communication with clients.

3.4 Costs associated with laboratory accreditation

Costs obtained from the literature are detailed below. All costs are adjusted for inflation².

Component	Source and cost (USD)				
	Zeh et al (1) Kenya	Kibet et al (14) Kenya	Opio et al (13) Uganda		
Gap analysis	69,519	-			
Training	35,223	-			
EQA	16,372	-			
Accreditation	19,070	-			
LMIS	5,793	-			
Temperature monitoring system	758	-			
Total	146, 630	96,120	57,932 - 115,865		

3.4.1 Costs for accreditation

² CPI Inflation Calculator http://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm

3.4.2 Costs of sustaining accreditation

Component	Source and cost (USD) per year				
	Zeh et al (1)	Kibet et al (14)	Elbireer et al (34)		
	Kenya	Kenya	Uganda		
Training	15,293	-	2,591		
LMIS	5,793		3,872		
Preventative maintenance			49,116		
Office supply costs			608		
Personnel time			97,077		
EQA	24,558	-	23,469		
QA reagents			391,374		
Process improvement activities			7,348		
Internal/external comparison			1,180		
testing					
Accreditation	35,478	-	17,380		
Temperature monitoring system	1,307	-	-		
Total	82,430	32,040	594,098		

The lower cost of accreditation experienced by Kibet et al (14) was attributed to the availability of local QMS training where as Zeh et al (1) had to source training from outside the country. The costs in the Elbireer et al (34) study were seven times higher than Zeh et al (1), representing 32% of total laboratory expenditure, because they included many more components.

Kibet et al (14) stated that improved efficiency offset the cost of maintaining accreditation and estimated the cost savings to be \$42,000 similar to the figure of \$37,000 estimated by Elbireer et al (34). It is important to note that both of these laboratories required minimal physical infrastructure upgrades which could be a significant proportion of the costs for laboratories with less modern infrastructure.

3.4.3 Infrastructure, human resource and reagent costs

Laboratory Type and	Source and cost (USD)					
Infrastructure Component	Herva et al (1999)(35) Philippines	Paglia et al (2012) Tanzania	Paramasivan et al (18)* Lesotho	Dacombe et al (36)* Malawi		
Laboratory type	Microbiology	ТВ	BSL-3	BSL-3		
Equipment	24,025	7,647	75,321	88,966		
Building improvement	-	-	107,754	148,039		
Technical Assistance	26,010/year	-		55,331		
Reagent costs/year	19,495		324,421			
Human resource/year	40,828		104,778			

* These studies look at the costs of setting up Bio-Safety Level 3 laboratories that have a high specification and construction costs.

3.5 Available tools and support for accreditation

The Stepwise Laboratory Improvement Process Towards Accreditation (SLIPTA) tool was developed in 2009 by the World Health Organisation (WHO) to help laboratories to progress towards ISO15189 standard (19, 37). It is essentially a checklist to score compliance with ISO15189 using a five-star system, with five stars indicating the laboratory is ready for assessment by an accrediting body (annex 5). The African Society of Laboratory Medicine is supporting a cadre of assessors to visit laboratories and certify their progress through the SLIPTA five-star system. A similar process is in place for blood transfusion services organised by the African Society for Blood Transfusion.

SLIPTA is supplemented by the Strengthening Laboratory Management Toward Accreditation (SLMTA) training model. It is directly linked to the SLIPTA audit process and provides educational material on QMS to help accelerate progress towards ISO15189(38-40). In 2014 the WHO launched an online tool, Laboratory Quality Stepwise Implementation (LQSI) tool to support laboratories aiming to attain ISO15189 accreditation (41). These tools have been extensively used in both sub Saharan Africa and the Caribbean. Some laboratories have also used the six sigma metrics for monitoring progress (14).

The OIE have developed a Performance of Veterinary Services (PVS) pathway for improving veterinary services that includes laboratory components (42) and is similar to the SLIPTA model. The pathway starts with the OIE conducting evaluations of countries veterinary services including laboratory components at the request of individual countries. This is followed by a gap analysis to identify and set priories for the veterinary programme. Specific activities are then undertaken to address these priority gaps³. This cycle is then repeated starting with another evaluation visit.

Twinning

There are a few examples of twinning of LMIC laboratories with a high-income institution. For example support was provided for 2 years to microbiology laboratories in the Philippines through the provision of equipment, reagents and ongoing equipment monitoring, EQA and technical expertise(35). This intervention resulted in a large increase in the number of samples processed and improvement in concordance in species identification.

3.6 Limitations of the laboratory accreditation process

ISO15189 is a very good framework to improve the functioning of laboratories in terms of monitoring and improving the entire testing process from sample collection, testing, reporting and disposal. However even with intensive support and good leadership, achieving accreditation takes several years. It is also costly to undertake both in cash terms and in staff time limiting its practical application to large relatively well-funded facilities. It can also be costly to maintain, though the costs of this may be offset by efficiency savings through improvements in procurement and use of resources.

The implementation of the SLIPTA stepwise model partially offsets these problems but raises its own issues. Certification by SLIPTA assessors of the stage reached by a laboratory does demonstrate progress by a laboratory towards the ISO15189 standard. However it is not in itself a demonstration of a functional QMS as the score only reflects the number of requirements met and not if those requirements function together to improve quality. The same argument can be levelled at other accreditation programmes, such as the national scheme in Thailand, which only requires 80% of the ISO15189 requirements to be met. The LQSI tool does group requirements into four logical stages but its impact on laboratory quality remains to be investigated.

Since these models focus on the implementation and maintenance of a QMS, they do not directly address broader issues that are important for capacity strengthening such the relationship and role of the laboratories with their host institutions, regional collaborations and networks, and strategic planning to expand services and sustain funding (43).

³ <u>http://www.oie.int/doc/ged/D14095.PDF</u>

4 Models focused on societal (i.e. national, regional and international) level laboratory strengthening

Societal capacity strengthening for laboratories can be conceptualised as the creation of national, regional or international networks. However, the activities carried out at each level are similar. Generally, the bigger the scope of the network, the less in-depth the activities to support it can be due to increasing cost.

The activities required to build and support a laboratory network that have been presented in the literature (which is summarised in annex 3) are:

- 1. Engagement with policymakers
- 2. Gap analysis of laboratories intending to join the network
- 3. Upgrading of laboratory infrastructure, human resources and quality management systems
- 4. Standardization of laboratory methods, equipment and servicing across the network
- 5. Accreditation and regulation
- 6. Network coordination and communication

Since the Maputo declaration in 2008, national laboratory networks in LMICs have been developed in line with the establishment or strengthening of a tiered laboratory network (44). A national tiered network consists of four levels:

Level	Laboratory Type	Example
4	National Reference	HIV reference laboratory
3	Regional/Provincial	Tuberculosis microscopy QA
		laboratory
2	District	District hospital
1	Primary	Health post/centre

National Tiered Laboratory Network

-

The Level 4 laboratories should be linked to regional or international level laboratories for the purpose of quality assurance, specimen referral and technical assistance. For example, internationally quality assurance of tuberculosis testing is managed through a network of supranational reference laboratories that act as regional reference centres.

Many disease-specific programmes have established international tiered laboratory networks for example for rotavirus (45), HIV (46), polio (47), measles and rubella (48), and tuberculosis (49). The WHO HIVResNet Drug Resistance Laboratory network provides a typical example. This network operates a three tier international structure. Specialised drug resistance laboratories set standards for the network and provide technical assistance to other laboratories in the network. Regional drug resistance laboratory and provide training and technical assistance to national drug resistance laboratory and provide training and technical assistance to national drug resistance laboratories within their region. National drug resistance laboratories provide specialist-testing service (in this case genotyping services) on nationally collected survey samples. All these laboratories are selected based on pre-defined criteria established by WHO (50). This structure is generally replicated in other international disease control networks.

4.1. Engagement with policymakers

Many studies cited the engagement of local health and government officials as important for the efficiency and success of their laboratory networks(51). Joint planning has often been used as an approach to ensure coordination between the development of networks and the countries involved

(52). The development of laboratory strategic plans with clear goals and activities has been promoted by international organisations such as WHO. Strong relationships with the national ministry of health is important to mitigate possible threats to the network such as the redeployment of skilled staff. Insufficient political commitment and lack of skilled human resources were raised by the majority of interviewees as major challenges facing laboratory capacity strengthening efforts.

4.2 'Gap analysis' assessments of laboratories within a network

Questionnaires are often used to analyse capacity gaps of large numbers of laboratories in a network (33), such as large multi-country networks, and are generally sent to a contact person within the laboratory to complete (17, 53). In one study in Thailand a QMS self-assessment was evaluated with follow up visits by the national accreditation body(16). This showed significant differences between the self-assessment and the accreditation visit indicating that the self-assessment approach may not be an accurate way of assessing the functionality of laboratory systems.

For networks involving smaller numbers of laboratories, site visits similar to the assessments used for institutional capacity have been conducted using tools such as checklists (28, 30, 52). Although time constraints mean these are often less detailed than the ones used for accreditation assessment they can be used for monitoring and evaluating laboratories in a network over time.

4.3. Upgrading of quality management systems, laboratory infrastructure and human resources

4.3.1 Establishing EQA systems

EQA is critical for a laboratory to be able to monitor and demonstrate the accuracy of its testing. Three types of EQA systems were identified from the literature and are summarised below.

Panel testing

Nine papers describe the setting up and/or operation of EQA programmes that involve a central laboratory sending samples to recipient laboratories which they test using their routine procedures (panel testing)(45, 48, 54-60). The laboratories send the results to the central laboratory which compares laboratories' results with the true results. Many EQA programmes look for concordance among participating laboratories to check the accuracy of the central laboratory's own results. Feedback is sent to participating laboratories about their performance but in some schemes, there may be significant delays. Since these systems can only detect errors but not the cause, laboratories that do not perform well are expected to have mechanisms in place to identify problems and take remedial action.

When EQA panel testing has been implemented as a stand-alone intervention without any supervision or remedial processes, it has not been shown to improve performance. However, panel testing can be scaled up relatively easily making it ideal for EQA programmes requiring an international scope. When combined with other interventions such as on-site supervision and repeat training it is an important way to achieve and monitor changes in performance of an individual laboratory and a laboratory network and could be applied in the context of AMR surveillance. The cost for the 2016-7 enrolment in the NEQAS AMR EQA is £402.

Blinded rechecking

Another model of EQA presented is the blinded rechecking of sample results by a second (normally higher tier) laboratory. This is most commonly used for slide based diagnosis (e.g. tuberculosis and malaria) but has also been applied to antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST). Blinded rechecking can provide feedback to laboratories but like panel testing, time delays may be significant. Feedback will be non-specific as only the error can be detected in these systems not the root cause.

Supervision

Supervision of testing sites involves periodic assessment visits to each site by supervisors and has been used extensively in HIV, malaria and tuberculosis programmes(61-64). It is used in international networks such as the global rotavirus surveillance network (45). Supervisory visits enable the entire QMS of the laboratory to be assessed (generally using a standardised checklist) and has the potential to give rapid feedback to specifically address any root causes of errors that have been detected. Results of blinded rechecking of tuberculosis smear microscopy centres receiving on site supervision have shown an increase in laboratories with no errors detected. An HIV programme in Nigeria showed a significant reduction in sites registering non-conformities after the introduction of supervision combined with training and renovation (30). A HIV study involving laboratory supervision in 5 LMICs also demonstrated a similar reduction in errors over a four-year period (65). This suggests that on-site supervision does have a positive effect on testing quality. However due to the transport and personnel costs routine supervision may be expensive to operate and therefore can be difficult to sustain.

4.3.2 Training of staff

Training of staff across a network of laboratories has been achieved using a number of different approaches alone or in combination(26, 66). These have included self-training using e-resources (67), on-site training (68) (26, 69, 70), centralised in-country training (26, 60, 66) and out-of-country training (65, 66, 69, 70). For technical and QMS training(30) the most common combination was centralised training followed by on-site training often combined with supervision visits. On-site training was preferred, as it did not take staff away from their workplaces.

In conflict zones centralised training has the advantage of providing training in a secure environment (66) with less risk to trainers though for participants, travel in conflict zones may pose additional hazards. Centralised training can also provide introductory technical training on a new technology platform before it is rolled out(51). However, delays in roll out may reduce the effectiveness of this training since new skills will be lost quickly if there is no opportunity to use them in practice. Centralised training can also be structured to allow the sharing of experiences between groups in different locations. (31, 69)

Large country programmes have established in-country training centres housed at tertiary level facilities (26, 30) and trained a cohort of in-country trainers ('training of trainers') who are able to conduct on-site training (26), (66). Large regional training centres can also provide specialist laboratory training. For example, the African Centre for Integrated Laboratory Training, South Africa (26) focuses on technical training for tuberculosis and HIV but also provides general courses on QMS, biosafety and strategic planning. The application process involves in-country CDC laboratory directors.

4.3.3 Laboratory Infrastructure

Most national laboratory strengthening programmes involved some upgrading of physical laboratory infrastructure (29-31, 51, 65, 66). Many found the process time consuming and costly. Example costs of laboratory renovations are given in section 3.4. In Peru the upgrading of the tuberculosis network infrastructure was delayed by around 6 months due to government requirements (29). A trial in 5 LMICs reported that it took 2 years to renovate laboratories (65). In Peru local experts were trained in the design of laboratories to sustain the expansion of the network.

4.3.4. Standardization of laboratory methods, reporting, equipment and servicing across networks

4.3.4.1 Standardization of methods

Many networks develop standard operating procedures (SOPs) for common processes across the network such as testing and sample referral(45, 65). These are often produced by the networks' high

level reference centres giving the advantage that the SOPs will be in-line with the latest knowledge. This also reduces the workload on less well staffed national and sub-national laboratories and allows for standardisation of training and reporting(51). Standardization of reporting is critical to ensure that the data the network generates can be validated and analysed. Many networks have introduced common electronic laboratory management information systems to address this (52, 67) and to help monitor QA (65). Staff training and routine validation processes are important components of these information systems.

4.3.4.2 Integration of laboratory activities across vertical disease programmes

Integration has been discussed as an opportunity to build on disease-specific investment in laboratory services, particularly in relation to HIV (26), for the benefit of other diseases. The expansion of activities which were initially set up as part of disease-specific programmes, such as on-site supervision, specimen transport, EQA and accreditation programmes, and staff training to incorporate other diseases is likely to be cost effective (71).

A study in Nigeria proposed a model for assessing integration (72). They split integration into two domains, physical/structural and virtual/service and presented specific components to be assessed under each domain. They carried out a series of interventions in 122 facilities mainly focused on the virtual/service domain which included establishing a common management structure, training and mentorship of all laboratory staff and encouragement of regular staff rotation, making all equipment generally accessible and serviced, nomination of a quality manager to oversee all areas of the laboratory and distribution of an electronic laboratory management information system to all sections of the laboratory. These interventions were assessed after 3 months and the proportion of laboratories demonstrating some service integration rose from 53% to 82%. Although other impacts of this integration were not assessed it does present a framework to evaluate the process of integration in countries where there have been significant disease-specific investments in laboratories.

4.3.4.3. Standardization of equipment and servicing

A number of programmes have found the use of non-standard equipment a challenge(51, 64). Heterogeneous equipment makes it difficult to standardise methods and reagents and can therefore increase the cost and complexity of procurement. Procurement regulations which are put in place to ensure fair tendering and uncontrolled donation of equipment, can act as barriers to equipment standardisation. Strong governmental leadership and commitment is required to overcome these barriers because they need to be guided by a national strategy (73).

4.3.5. Accreditation/regulation

For reference level laboratories in a laboratory network, accreditation is desirable and often required. The costs involved put such accreditation schemes beyond the reach of lower level laboratories in LMICs which are often better served by well-supported QA systems, possibly managed by the reference laboratories, and monitored by regular on-site visits. The SLIMTA process offers a way to encourage laboratories to progress towards accreditation but the scoring system is not necessarily indicative of a functional QMS.

Many WHO disease specific programme networks accredit laboratories using their own criteria. For example, the Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network uses seven performance criteria focusing on the timeliness of results, EQA panel test and rechecking concordance and implementation of a specified quality control procedure(48). At national level, peer networks for the development of QMS and educational visits to accredited laboratories for staff involved in developing QMS have been shown to be helpful (16). More countries need to be supported to develop their own regulatory systems for laboratories both to promote ownership and to release the pressure on existing accrediting bodies such as those in South Africa.

4.3.6 Network coordination

Regular communication through virtual and physical meetings has been raised as important for the functioning of a laboratory network. The Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network facilitate communication through regional laboratory coordination meetings every 1-3 years. Each region also has a dedicated laboratory coordinator whose role is to work with ministries of health to support and expand the network (48).

4.4 Challenges

The following challenges were identified through interviews and from the literature.

- The difficulty in securing political commitment and long term funding was a concern for ensuring the sustainability of laboratory strengthening projects. This is a particular problem when programmes are supported by external donors with time-limited funding since the cyclical and relatively short nature of grants does not fit with the long term commitment required to strengthen laboratories.
- In determining the direction and activities for strengthening laboratory capacity, there may be tensions between the nation's needs and donors' agendas. The focus should be on tests of public health importance and take account of clinicians' requirements.
- Insufficient numbers of suitably trained, qualified and motivated laboratory staff in LMICs was considered a major and common challenge. Better career pathways for laboratory staff and for encouraging women into senior laboratory positions may help to mitigate this problem.
- The cost of sending samples for EQA programmes is often very high and international regulations can be difficult to navigate(74). Some networks have tried to reduce shipment costs for example by using dried blood spots, which are exempt from dangerous goods regulations(48)
- In some LMICs private laboratories play an important role but their integration into disease surveillance and quality assurance networks has proved difficult. Their inclusion in confirmatory testing schemes has met with some success (48)
- The majority of service delivery is done by laboratories in the lower tiers but they are least able to access reagents, equipment maintenance and quality assurance schemes. It is therefore important for national surveillance and case management that they are incorporated into strong national quality, procurement, training, supervision and monitoring systems
- More systematic and robust ways of measuring the impact of laboratory strengthening efforts are needed to be able to better understand which approaches are most effective and in which contexts.

References

- 1. Zeh CE, Inzaule SC, Magero VO, Thomas TK, Laserson KF, Hart CE, et al. Field experience in implementing ISO 15189 in Kisumu, Kenya. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;134(3):410-8.
- 2. Bates I, Boyd A, Smith H, Cole DC. A practical and systematic approach to organisational capacity strengthening for research in the health sector in Africa. Health Res Policy Sy. 2014;12.
- 3. Mosha F, Oundo J, Mukanga D, Njenga K, Nsubuga P. Public health laboratory systems development in East Africa through training in laboratory management and field epidemiology. Pan Afr Med J. 2011;10 Supp 1:14.
- 4. Nguku P, Oyemakinde A, Sabitu K, Olayinka A, Ajayi I, Fawole O, et al. Training and service in public health, Nigeria Field Epidemiology and Laboratory Training, 2008 2014. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;18 Suppl 1:2.
- 5. Nsubuga P, Johnson K, Tetteh C, Oundo J, Weathers A, Vaughan J, et al. Field Epidemiology and Laboratory Training Programs in sub-Saharan Africa from 2004 to 2010: need, the process, and prospects. Pan Afr Med J. 2011;10:24.
- 6. Namagembe A, Ssekabira U, Weaver MR, Blum N, Burnett S, Dorsey G, et al. Improved clinical and laboratory skills after team-based, malaria case management training of health care professionals in Uganda. Malar J. 2012;11:44.
- 7. Bates I, Bekoe V, Asamoa-Adu A. Improving the accuracy of malaria-related laboratory tests in Ghana. Malar J. 2004;3:38.
- 8. Oluwasola AO, Malaka D, Khramtsov AI, Ikpatt OF, Odetunde A, Adeyanju OO, et al. Use of Webbased training for quality improvement between a field immunohistochemistry laboratory in Nigeria and its United States-based partner institution. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2013;17(6):526-30.
- 9. Field AS, Geddie W, Zarka M, Sayed S, Kalebi A, Wright CA, et al. Assisting cytopathology training in medically under-resourced countries: defining the problems and establishing solutions. Diagn Cytopathol. 2012;40(3):273-81.
- 10. Nkengasong JN, Mesele T, Orloff S, Kebede Y, Fonjungo PN, Timperi R, et al. Critical role of developing national strategic plans as a guide to strengthen laboratory health systems in resource-poor settings. Am J Clin Pathol. 2009;131(6):852-7.
- 11. Alemnji GA, Branch S, Best A, Kalou M, Parekh B, Waruiru W, et al. Strengthening national laboratory health systems in the Caribbean Region. Glob Public Health. 2012;7(6):648-60.
- 12. Olmsted SS, Moore M, Meili RC, Duber HC, Wasserman J, Sama P, et al. Strengthening laboratory systems in resource-limited settings. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;134(3):374-80.
- 13. Opio A, Wafula W, Amone J, Kajumbula H, Nkengasong JN. Country leadership and policy are critical factors for implementing laboratory accreditation in developing countries: a study on Uganda. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;134(3):381-7.
- 14. Kibet E, Moloo Z, Ojwang PJ, Sayed S, Mbuthia A, Adam RD. Measurement of improvement achieved by participation in international laboratory accreditation in sub-Saharan Africa: the Aga Khan University Hospital Nairobi experience. Am J Clin Pathol. 2014;141(2):188-95.
- 15. Anisimova VD, TAM; Engelberts, MF; Kachuwaire, O; Oskam, L; Scholten, J. ISO 15189 Quality Management System Implementation: Look Before You Leap. 2015.
- 16. Kanitvittaya S, Suksai U, Suksripanich O, Pobkeeree V. Laboratory quality improvement in Thailand's northernmost provinces. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2010;23(1):22-34.
- 17. Namatovu A, Wekesa SN, Tjornehoj K, Dhikusooka MT, Muwanika VB, Siegsmund HR, et al. Laboratory capacity for diagnosis of foot-and-mouth disease in Eastern Africa: implications for the progressive control pathway. BMC Vet Res. 2013;9:19.
- Paramasivan CN, Lee E, Kao K, Mareka M, Kubendiran G, Kumar TA, et al. Experience establishing tuberculosis laboratory capacity in a developing country setting. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2010;14(1):59-64.
- 19. Gershy-Damet GM, Rotz P, Cross D, Belabbes el H, Cham F, Ndihokubwayo JB, et al. The World Health Organization African region laboratory accreditation process: improving the quality of laboratory systems in the African region. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;134(3):393-400.

- 20. Ahmad M, Khan FA, Ahmad SA. Standardization of pathology laboratories in Pakistan: problems and prospects. Clin Biochem. 2009;42(4-5):259-62.
- 21. Sachdeva KS, Nagaraja SB, Kumar A, Kumar P, Ramachandran R. Certification of TB culture and drug susceptibility testing laboratories through the Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP). J Indian Med Assoc. 2012;110(7):488-9.
- 22. Bilto YY. Consensus and accuracy in haematology laboratories of developing countries: the Jordanian experience. Clin Lab Haematol. 1999;21(1):11-5.
- 23. Qutishat AS. Medical laboratory quality and accreditation in Jordan. Clin Biochem. 2009;42(4-5):256-8.
- 24. Schneidman M DR, Carter J. Laboratory professionals in Arica: The backbone of qaulity diagnostics. 2014.
- 25. Woodcock S, Fine G, McClure K, Unger B, Rizzo-Price P. The role of standards and training in preparing for accreditation. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;134(3):388-92.
- 26. Justman JE, Koblavi-Deme S, Tanuri A, Goldberg A, Gonzalez LF, Gwynn CR. Developing Laboratory Systems and Infrastructure for HIV Scale-Up: A Tool for Health Systems Strengthening in Resource-Limited Settings. Jaids-J Acq Imm Def. 2009;52:S30-S3.
- 27. Fonjungo PN, Kebede Y, Messele T, Ayana G, Tibesso G, Abebe A, et al. Laboratory equipment maintenance: a critical bottleneck for strengthening health systems in sub-Saharan Africa? J Public Health Policy. 2012;33(1):34-45.
- 28. Odhiambo F, Galgalo T, Wences A, Muchemi OM, Kanyina EW, Tonui JC, et al. Antimicrobial resistance: capacity and practices among clinical laboratories in Kenya, 2013. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;19:332.
- 29. Shin SS, Yagui M, Ascencios L, Yale G, Suarez C, Quispe N, et al. Scale-up of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis laboratory services, Peru. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14(5):701-8.
- 30. Abimiku AG, Institute of Human Virology UoMSoMPP. Building laboratory infrastructure to support scale-up of HIV/AIDS treatment, care, and prevention: in-country experience. Am J Clin Pathol. 2009;131(6):875-86.
- 31. Hamel DJ, Sankale JL, Samuels JO, Sarr AD, Chaplin B, Ofuche E, et al. Building laboratory capacity to support HIV care in Nigeria: Harvard/APIN PEPFAR, 2004-2012. Afr J Lab Med. 2015;4(1).
- 32. Malkin R, Keane A. Evidence-based approach to the maintenance of laboratory and medical equipment in resource-poor settings. Med Biol Eng Comput. 2010;48(7):721-6.
- 33. Simundic AM, Bilic-Zulle L, Nikolac N, Supak-Smolcic V, Honovic L, Avram S, et al. The quality of the extra-analytical phase of laboratory practice in some developing European countries and Mexico a multicentric study. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2011;49(2):215-28.
- 34. Elbireer A, Gable AR, Jackson JB. Cost of Quality at a Clinical Laboratory in a Resource-Limited Country. Labmedicine. 2010;41(7):429-33.
- 35. Herva E, Sombrero L, Lupisan S, Arcay J, Ruutu P. Establishing a laboratory for surveillance of invasive bacterial infections in a tertiary care government hospital in a rural province in the Philippines. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1999;60(6):1035-40.
- 36. Dacombe RP, M; Kachiza,C; Chisuwo, L; Samuti, Dambe,GI; Ramsay, AR; Mundy,C; Suarez,PG; Mann ,GH; Squire, SB. Refurbishing the tuberculosis reference laboratory in Malawi to conform to international biosafety guidance. 2012.
- 37. Mbah H, Ojo E, Ameh J, Musuluma H, Negedu-Momoh OR, Jegede F, et al. Piloting laboratory quality system management in six health facilities in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2014;9(12):e116185.
- 38. Yao K, Maruta T, Luman ET, Nkengasong JN. The SLMTA programme: Transforming the laboratory landscape in developing countries. Afr J Lab Med. 2014;3(3).
- 39. Yao K, McKinney B, Murphy A, Rotz P, Wafula W, Sendagire H, et al. Improving quality management systems of laboratories in developing countries: an innovative training approach to accelerate laboratory accreditation. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;134(3):401-9.
- 40. Andiric LR, Massambu CG. Laboratory quality improvement in Tanzania. Am J Clin Pathol. 2015;143(4):566-72.

- 41. Datema TA, Oskam L, van Beers SM, Klatser PR. Critical review of the Stepwise Laboratory Improvement Process Towards Accreditation (SLIPTA): suggestions for harmonization, implementation and improvement. Trop Med Int Health. 2012;17(3):361-7.
- 42. Banyard AC, Horton DL, Freuling C, Muller T, Fooks AR. Control and prevention of canine rabies: the need for building laboratory-based surveillance capacity. Antiviral Res. 2013;98(3):357-64.
- 43. Njelesani J, Dacombe R, Palmer T, Smith H, Koudou B, Bockarie M, et al. A systematic approach to capacity strengthening of laboratory systems for control of neglected tropical diseases in Ghana, Kenya, Malawi and Sri Lanka. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(3):e2736.
- 44. World Health Organisation. Maputo Declaration2008 12/1/16. Available from: http://www.who.int/diagnostics_laboratory/Maputo-Declaration_2008.pdf.
- 45. Serhan F. Building Laboratory Capacity to Support the Global Rotavirus Surveillance Network. Mmwr-Morbid Mortal W. 2013;62(20):409-12.
- 46. Bennett DE, Myatt M, Bertagnolio S, Sutherland D, Gilks CF. Recommendations for surveillance of transmitted HIV drug resistance in countries scaling up antiretroviral treatment. Antivir Ther. 2008;13 Suppl 2:25-36.
- 47. Hull BP, Dowdle WR. Poliovirus surveillance: building the global Polio Laboratory Network. J Infect Dis. 1997;175 Suppl 1:S113-6.
- 48. Featherstone DA, Rota PA, Icenogle J, Mulders MN, Jee Y, Ahmed H, et al. Expansion of the Global Measles and Rubella Laboratory Network 2005-09. J Infect Dis. 2011;204:S491-S8.
- 49. Aziz MA, Wright A, Laszlo A, De Muynck A, Portaels F, Van Deun A, et al. Epidemiology of antituberculosis drug resistance (the Global Project on Anti-tuberculosis Drug Resistance Surveillance): an updated analysis. Lancet. 2006;368(9553):2142-54.
- Bertagnolio S, Derdelinckx I, Parker M, Fitzgibbon J, Fleury H, Peeters M, et al. World Health Organization/HIVResNet Drug Resistance Laboratory Strategy. Antivir Ther. 2008;13 Suppl 2:49-57.
- 51. Sanchez JL, Johns MC, Burke RL, Vest KG, Fukuda MM, Yoon IK, et al. Capacity-building efforts by the AFHSC-GEIS program. BMC Public Health. 2011;11 Suppl 2:S4.
- 52. Borchert JN, Tappero JW, Downing R, Shoemaker T, Behumbiize P, Aceng J, et al. Rapidly Building Global Health Security Capacity - Uganda Demonstration Project, 2013. Mmwr-Morbid Mortal W. 2014;63(4):73-6.
- 53. Yin JH, Yan H, Huang F, Li M, Xiao HH, Zhou SS, et al. Establishing a China malaria diagnosis reference laboratory network for malaria elimination. Malaria J. 2015;14.
- 54. Hendriksen RS, Mikoleit M, Carlson VP, Karlsmose S, Vieira AR, Jensen AB, et al. WHO Global Salm-Surv external quality assurance system for serotyping of Salmonella isolates from 2000 to 2007. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47(9):2729-36.
- 55. Land S, Zhou J, Cunningham P, Sohn AH, Singtoroj T, Katzenstein D, et al. Capacity building and predictors of success for HIV-1 drug resistance testing in the Asia-Pacific region and Africa. J Int AIDS Soc. 2013;16:18580.
- 56. Mbwambo K, Koch M. Establishing PT schemes in developing countries: examples from Africa. Accredit Qual Assur. 2012;17(4):379-82.
- 57. Parkin N, Bremer J, Bertagnolio S. Genotyping External Quality Assurance in the World Health Organization HIV Drug Resistance Laboratory Network During 2007-2010. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2012;54:S266-S72.
- Frean J, Perovic O, Fensham V, McCarthy K, von Gottberg A, de Gouveia L, et al. External quality assessment of national public health laboratories in Africa, 2002-2009. B World Health Organ. 2012;90(3):191-9.
- 59. Holloway K, Mathai E, Gray A, Community-Based Surveillance of Antimicrobial U, Resistance in Resource-Constrained Settings Project G. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in resource-constrained settings experience from five pilot projects. Trop Med Int Health. 2011;16(3):368-74.
- 60. Diaz HM, Perez MT, Lubian AL, Nibot C, Cruz O, Silva E, et al. HIV detection in Cuba: role and results of the National Laboratory Network. MEDICC Rev. 2011;13(2):9-13.

- 61. Patel ND, Rade K, Dave PV, Pujara K, Solanki RN, Vegad MM, et al. Impact of the RNTCPIRL-EQA-OSE visits on quality of sputum smear microscopy services of Gujarat, India. Indian J Tuberc. 2012;59(1):12-7.
- 62. Van Rie A, Fitzgerald D, Kabuya G, Van Deun A, Tabala M, Jarret N, et al. Sputum smear microscopy: evaluation of impact of training, microscope distribution, and use of external quality assessment guidelines for resource-poor settings. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46(3):897-901.
- 63. Marinucci F, Manyazewal T, Paterniti AD, Medina-Moreno S, Wattleworth M, Hagembe J, et al. Impact of horizontal approach in vertical program: continuous quality improvement of malaria and tuberculosis diagnostic services at primary-level medical laboratories in the context of HIV care and treatment program in Ethiopia. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013;88(3):547-51.
- 64. Jiang Y, Qiu M, Zhang G, Xing W, Xiao Y, Pan P, et al. Quality assurance in the HIV/AIDS laboratory network of China. Int J Epidemiol. 2010;39 Suppl 2:ii72-8.
- 65. Gaydos CA, Rizzo-Price PA, Balakrishnan P, Mateta P, Leon SR, Verevochkin S, et al. Impact of international laboratory partnerships on the performance of HIV/sexually transmitted infection testing in five resource-constrained countries. Int J Std Aids. 2011;22(11):645-52.
- 66. Elyan DS, Monestersky JH, Wasfy MO, Noormal B, Oyofo BA. Capacity building of public health laboratories in Afghanistan: challenges and successes (2007-2011). E Mediterr Health J. 2014;20(2):112-9.
- 67. Najjar-Pellet J, Machuron JL, Bougoudogo F, Sakande J, Sow I, Paquet C, et al. Clinical laboratory networks contribute to strengthening disease surveillance: The RESAOLAB Project in West Africa. Emerg Health Threats J. 2013;6.
- 68. Cannas A, Paglia MG, Sakhoo DC, Vairo F, Doulla B, Nguhuni B, et al. Strengthening tuberculosis diagnosis in a low-resource setting: experience learned in Dodoma, Tanzania. J Infect Dev Countr. 2013;7(9):676-9.
- 69. Seimenis A. Capacity building for zoonotic and foodborne diseases in the Mediterranean and Middle East regions (an intersectoral WHO/MZCP proposed strategy). Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;36 Suppl 1:S75-9.
- Wertheim HF, Puthavathana P, Nghiem NM, van Doorn HR, Nguyen TV, Pham HV, et al. Laboratory capacity building in Asia for infectious disease research: experiences from the South East Asia Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network (SEAICRN). PLoS Med. 2010;7(4):e1000231.
- 71. Sweeney S, Obure CD, Maier CB, Greener R, Dehne K, Vassall A. Costs and efficiency of integrating HIV/AIDS services with other health services: a systematic review of evidence and experience. Sex Transm Infect. 2012;88(2):85-99.
- 72. Mbah H, Negedu-Momoh OR, Adedokun O, Ikani PA, Balogun O, Sanwo O, et al. Implementing and measuring the level of laboratory service integration in a program setting in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e107277.
- 73. Massambu C, Mwangi C. The Tanzania experience: clinical laboratory testing harmonization and equipment standardization at different levels of a tiered health laboratory system. Am J Clin Pathol. 2009;131(6):861-6.
- 74. Hamers RL, Straatsma E, Kityo C, Wallis CL, Stevens WS, Sigaloff KC, et al. Building capacity for the assessment of HIV drug resistance: experiences from the PharmAccess African Studies to Evaluate Resistance network. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54 Suppl 4:S261-5.
- 75. Young DS. Progressing towards laboratory accreditation in developing countries. Afr J Med Med Sci. 2010;39(4):333-40.

Annex 1: Data from Key Informant Interviews

Interview	Programmes involved in	Types of activities	Outcomes	Challenges/Concerns
Number		carried out		
1	Involved in two types of programs. 1) Health system perspective based, looking at lab systems and networks which involves strategic planning at national level. For example, in Central Asia and former Soviet regions (Moldova, Uzbek, Turkmenistan etc). 2) Current situation analysis (SWOT) - doing system assessment which has two components- system one and a facility one. Africa Society for Public health score card for lab project. JEE Project parallel with global health security agenda which involves system analysis/SWOT and also policy and strategic analysis. Better lives for better health- EQA, training curriculum in Moldova, Tajikistan, Russia. The Facility based programs focus on QM, for example- using GLI and LQIS tools and involves direct implementation in Uganda (2008-2014). Another one in Tanzania and Vietnam	Strategic planning, SWOT analysis, training of the mentors, trainers, quality management	Uganda- National TB laboratory became Supra- national reference lab with ISO 15189 accreditation with South Sudan and Somalia utilising services.	Sustainability and political commitment are key concerns. Also making them realise that it is 'their (local)' Quality management not ours and that teams are there for mentoring and not necessarily implementing. The difficulties of programs like SLPTA is that it parachutes people for quick service and hence challenges to local capacity building. If implementation is successful and robust system is achieved- challenges appear in terms of expectations (request for research) and workload, raising issues with regards to staff management or generate funds. Active lab leadership/manager is critical. For example, Moses, director of TB program. For policy and strategic developments, not enough funds are available, or not properly trained staff to can take up advocacy for lab management and quality assurance, most LMICs do not have specific program
2	Started with TB lab strengthening work to develop National TB Lab quality management in Uganda and Vietnam. Was mentoring project but not necessarily embedded in the NTBL work. It involved technical training for one week/four times a year. It also involved distance monitoring, bringing TB labs for ISO15189 accreditation standards. The Global Laboratory Initiative (GLI) for TB was initiated to provide development and uptake of practical guidance and tools for high quality TB diagnostic networks. It provides a roadmap for taking step by step process for QM systems in TB. The GLI tool led to development of LQIS which is free tool in the form of a website that provides a stepwise plan			Until Ebola happened lab capacity strengthening was not a major priority for the governments. Developing tools is not a major challenge but implementation is. Human resources are key concerns- work overload, continuity, and keeping motivation about continuity to same high standards is very difficult. At PHC level, maintenance and supply of reagents, calibration of equipment is an issue. Equipment donation is not difficult and

	to guide medical laboratories towards implementing a quality management system in compliance with ISO 15189. LQIS is more generic in nature, and contains a checklist that countries can flexibly adopt to their needs, and can be translated. Also provide training of using LQIS, introducing QM systems on site in different countries. Since ISO is expensive and difficult to achieve, the focus is only at national level or regional level labs. At primary health level- standardisation of tests, carrying out pre-analytical assessment is important. Technical Assistance at lower levels is difficult as it depends on several other factors (context based). Donor money is usually only provided for national or central level		several organisations donate, however many times correct equipment is not received or other supply issues (reagents etc) to use equipment is not well thought. You need to work within the system you got, but challenges come from human resources- motivation of staff, political and organisational commitment.
3	1. TB Supra national reference lab. It also has surveillance data on the emergence of TB resistance. Ref lab is linked with NRLs and provides support with QA of DST. It has formal agreements with national labs for support of new diagnostics. 2. Global Lab initiative with partner countries. 3 Expand TB involves rapid rolling out of new diagnostics at lower levels (?)	Various tools developed for partner countries such as biosafety, accreditation, effectiveness of the lab network, supporting consultants to provide training and technical assistance. The effectiveness of the programs measured through several indicators- such as PT, improvement in case notification, RDT.	WHO makes recommendations and countries roll out, costs are high and uptake of programs may not be as wide. Policy change at country level is challenging, for example GeneXpert for TB diagnosis. Ensuring sustainability is difficult- at the end of donor money, govts stop the run of the programs. The challenge is to have interventions at the lowest tier of health system, and point of care tests that are long term sustainable for local needs- where manufacturers need to optimise measurements. For example, in pulmonary TB point of care testing is an issue. Manufacturers need to make too many manipulations with sputum samples, and quality management and biosafety needs to be maintained otherwise contamination is easy. WHO can only provide policy and implementation guidance but cannot implement programs, has to rely on partners.
4	Recently have been involved in developing lab capacity in East Africa where there were gaps in TB control program		1. Human Resources a key concern- quality of competencies is underdeveloped.

	(http://www.worldbank.org/en/results/2016/06/07/east-africa- public-health-laboratory-power-of-networking). Involved 5 countries- Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda, Kenya, Burundi. All countries have high burden of disease out breaks and high burden of TB and emerging MDRTB. Designed a network of 32 labs, each country taking a lead one technical aspect. This also involved drug resistance monitoring. In last 5 years since 2009 Uganda and Rwanda have developed state of the art labs, and some got 1 or 2 stars for ISO15189 accreditation. Besides infrastructure, the project also helped in RDTs, preservice and in- service training. The network was developed on the premise of knowledge sharing between those five countries and support each other in different capacity building aspects. The harmonisation and standardisation of training programs, materials, SoPs were crucial for information exchange. Provide		Standardisation and harmonisation has a side issue of staff retention, they move to other places and there is 'labour mobilisation'. Turnover of HR is an issues. The relationships between scientists and clinicians is usually tense although things are improving, so it is preferable that programs should be integrated with hospitals.2. Measuring effectiveness and impact is very challenging. 3. Sustainability- both financial and institutional sustainability is key, maintaining capacity, and countries taking ownership of the programs and maintain capacity and create Centres of Excellence. Example of
	strengthening lab workforce by training and certification through standardised frameworks. World Bank works only at tertiary level hospitals. It is important that the design of the programs should be simple but very focused. Offshoot research is extremely important and powerful tool within programs to identify issues in local areas. Sometimes disease focused lab strengthening may not beneficial for expanding research. Also involved in developing lab capacity for NCDs. Phase 1 is diagnostics focused. For example- cancer related capacity. Only handful of hospitals do cancer diagnosis in urban hospitals or private sector hospitals. People arrive for diagnosis at very late stage or had very bad prognosis. Rolling out of basic pathology services at lower levels is considered. Proper biopsy and samples sent to referral labs within time is crucial. Telephathology programs are being considered using electronic computerised systems. For example- in Rwanda. Access to services, early		countries. Such activities require individual champions who have the drive and determination.
	health programs.	Combodio Droduce d	
5	both. 1995-2002 worked in Vietnam (UNAIDS_ in Ho Chi Minh	Cambodia Produced SOPs, Vietnam-	

infectious disease hospital. Based within hospital and laboratory,	training, training	Cambodia and	1. The lack of resources- in TB program,
research lab based within routine lab- members keep rotating.	material, practical	Vietnam-	routine diagnostic labs. With WT funds in
New programs for supporting lab methods. For Vietnam settings	teaching,	believable	labs in Vietnam and Cambodia- able to
very high quality lab. Same in Cambodia- children's hospital	interpreting results.	results from the	achieve. But many labs struggle with
(2010-12), same setting microbial lab, introduce csf culture,		lab with high	resources to do tests or what they want to
culture for other things. focus on QC/QA. Similar thing currently		QA/QC. With TB	do. Resources for reagents, equipment to
in Philippines- infectious disease hospital in Manila,		in Malawi-	do safe job particularly TB labs which is big
strengthening lab methods, routine testing. So working in		MDRTB	investment. 2. Access to the materials-
routine diagnostic labs in different countries (Japanese govt		surveillance	access to QC strains, reagents. Information
fund) (WT fund). In Malawi and Vietnam worked on the		project, how	with regards to guidelines- for example if
laboratory part of the TB program to strengthen central ref labs		much MDRTB	antimicrobial susceptibility testing that
to help them with surveillance of drug resistance of TB, and		was present.	needs to be done according to guidelines.
improving lab safety- physical structure and lab safety. WT		Completed	Two main system- EU system is free online
funding lab research than strengthening- but can't do research		survey.	and US CLSI which many use you have to
without lab strengthening. UNAIDs have program of lab		Opportunity to	pay. Labs can't pay for that and rely on old
strengthening for TB, for surveillance of MDRTB. Provided		secure funding	guidelines. CLSI revises every year and for
training at all levels (national/regional)		for refurbishing	each new edition you need to pay for it.
		lab. Vietnam-	Labs part is often forgotten and neglected
		labs could do	compared to the other parts of the system.
		QA/QC based	Sometimes easier to focus on one labs, on
		work (5 labs).	national level- eg. Vietnam with 5 labs
			together challenging as each lab had
			different issues and problems, travelling
			around. Challenge to standardise methods
			across all labs. Funding and costings about
			national program, also within each lab-
			issues about what labs should be doing.
			One big issue with TB- safety in labs,
			particularly sensitivity testing, there are real
			risk to lab staffs. There are different
			approaches to address lab, for example -
			the lab is not perfect and completely safe
			from western lab point. One approach is to
			say that is what we got and we try to
			improve within the constraints of facilities
			available. Another approach is to say this is
			unacceptable. People from west criticise

		that it is unethical to do it in labs with
		limited facilities and should be based on
		western standards. My view is usually being
		first- that whatever we got let's try to make
		it safe as much as we can. BSL-3 level labs
		for developing countries are expensive to
		build and run and technically difficult and
		may not be within technical capability of
		local people. What WHO initially discussed
		that if you can't have BSL3 lab- can we have
		BSL2+ lab (more than BSL 2 less than BSL3).
		Not sure if WHO has produced new lab
		safety manual that suggests that. For TB lot
		of labs/countries struggle. One has to be
		realistic about what should be done. Try
		not to replicate western lab in resource
		poor settings. People do not trust the lab
		results in poor labs, as labs often do not
		have proper QC/QA. Even simple things like
		Malaria smear can't be done properly. So
		better to have a lab that can do few but
		good tests than lots of tests but not well.
		Focus on diseases of public health
		importance and not everything like a
		western lab would do. Should adapt to local
		situation but you cannot adapt quality.
		have to stick to the quality. HR- salaries in
		govt labs not good, in Malawi- people move
		to private labs, or brain drain from south to
		north. For example a 1000 bed govt
		hospital in Bangladesh did not have a
		functioning lab, but was surrounded by
		private labs increasing competition to
		attract patients (even entering wards) or
		through doctors nexus for business. Even
		private labs very bad quality. If you are
		doing surveillance for resistance, you need

		to also look into private labs because that	is
		where people go.	
6	Have worked previously with LSTM so LSTM aware of the	Interview focussed on the different	
	programs involved in. There is a relative freedom to carry out	challenges in programs. USAID: programs	
	projects of one's own interest, programs are donor driven in the	are disease control based such as HIV/AID	5,
	US (a big limitation. Worked on both USAID and CDC funded	TB, Malaria and lab component is	
	projects.	embedded in it. CDC projects are stand	
		alone and decided by CDC priorities rather	
		than what is needed. Most US programs d	о
		not allow operational studies and emphasi	is
		is on service delivery, capacity building for	
		returns. Sustainability is a concern althoug	jh
		things are slightly changing, 10 yrs ago	
		technical assistance and donors had to tak	e
		ownership for sustainability but now	
		countries are expected to take control. CD	С
		started PEPFAR 1 in 2003, and PEPFAR 2 in	l -
		2008. The difficulty in PEPFAR is that it	
		assumes that all countries should have	
		same/similar lab conditions and ignores	
		socio-economic and cultural conditions. The	ıe
		focus is on lab capacity inside the four wal	ls
		of the lab, such technical development,	
		linkages to quality management and	
		accreditation stds etc and expect labs to	
		come up with same stds in resource limite	d
		settings also. Example of Challenge in	
		PEPFAR program in Kenya supported by	
		MISH. Unly oversignt was provided by US	
		and local Kenyan team was responsible for	•
		capacity building. However program was	
		under the control of CDC, which developed	ג
		national policy plan to implement taking a	
		very top down approach without any	_
		ground work on local conditions. The focus	נ
		In CDC is very much about technical	
		component, biosatety issues. The program	1

		required training two key lab personnel at
		different sites who would further carry out
		the training for others. In Kenya despite
		the technical development in the labs, very
		hard to keep the staff motivated to carry on
		once the donors exit. MSH developed a
		leadership and management skills program
		for labs. It is not about labs per se but
		developing human resources so that there
		is an increased retention of staff and
		motivation to take ownership and capacity
		building from the countries. In Kenya
		political support and senior management
		support for staff motivation is lacking. WHO
		is dependent on donors and does not have
		its own money, so it focuses more on policy
		making, std setting. The Global lab
		initiative- designing tools for labs and then
		WHO relies on consultants to implement
		them locally. WHO-AFRO's lot of work is
		done by CDC, and although WHO West
		Pacific is more active but less attention is
		given to it. Sustainability is a key concern
		after donors leave. Programs are shut and
		countries do not take ownership for
		running the programs, due to the
		investments needed. Lab capacity
		strengthening is not just related to the
		structure of the lab alone but its
		sustainability requires substantial focus and
		planning about financial aspects- budgeting,
		leadership. In many poor countries the
		MoH rely on other ministries for budget
		(finance for example). Lab programs are
		more successful when they are embedded
		in system wide disease focussed programs.
		Access to labs is also difficult. CDC only

			focuses on top-half of the labs in the system and not lower levels. The idea of strengthening labs is not enough rather the focus should be about making diagnosis sustainable. For example with TB GeneXpert diagnostic technology- the tests are heavily subsidized by donors. Difference has to be covered by the countries. Lab capacity is technology focussed and most of the time staff do not meet patients.
7	Worked in WHO since 2004. Initially capacity building was integrated with infectious disease department but later created a specific unit for capacity strengthening of national labs. The focus in on epidemic born diseases just not HIV/NTD/TB/malaria. The focus has been on viral driven pathogens- H1N1/H5N1/Ebola but also have recent focus on plague and cholera. For example cholera in Haiti after the earthquake. Lyon Unit is not disease specific, Geneva unit is disease specific capacity strengthening. Take lab capacity strengthening in its entirety. Usually focus on NRLs or regional labs but occasionally hospital based labs also but diagnostic capacity strengthening only at national or provincial levels. Resistance capacity is included recently. AMR team is in Geneva but do not focus on lab capacity strengthening but we include lab capacity in our team. Within AMR- lab capacity at the interface between animal and human health. For example in Pasteur Institute a study in Cambodia focused on collecting specimens from animals (chickens?) to identify resistant strains in animals transferred to humans through food. The projects in WHO are both long and short term- depends on the donors and funding source. Major funders US govt, USAID, CDC, EC/EU, GIZ. French and Russian govts but never from DFID. Donors do not want to commit for 5 years in one attempt. Usually it is cyclical and every year grant is received. Only Gates foundation gave 5 yrs grant and followed by EC for three years. As with regards to Technical projects- 20 million USD spent in 15 years globally. Some strategic objectives have included- better organisation of NRLs, development of national lab policies,		Cyclical nature of grants is an obstacle for sustainability. Cannot do much in one year. Only can buy equipment and reagents but to bring change in workforce/policies and programs need longer term investment and ownership for the local labs is important for sustainability.2. Many labs are more interested in research and publication with WHO rather than investing time and effort in lab capacity strengthening. 3. Sustainability is a key concern-needs lots of investment in every aspect of lab from workforce to infrastructure.4. To create market for labs-need for clinicians to understand its importance and they should demonstrate the use of lab and advocate it. Clinicians and lab managers are not good in advocacy about labs so as to convince ministries for focus and investment.

coordination of labs at national level. It involves- creating		
national units/bureau focusing only on lab capacity		
strengthening within ministries who have a lab systems		
information such as structure and type of lab, public and private,		
academic or hospital based, types of diagnostic facilities. There is		
a need for licencing mechanisms and registration processes for		
the labs. The system of twinning training/sandwiched training for		
researchers from resource poor countries in rich countries does		
not work because they go back to their local environment,		
difficult to identify motivated staff so onsite training with		
available resources is good. Immediate loss of capacity as soon		
as donors exit because countries do not take ownership for		
sustainability; and there is a dependency mode even for		
equipment and reagent supply (from abroad), corruption and		
personal interests take over a few times. Need for local supply		
chain and creating networks regionally. For example-in Yemen a		
director of a hospital lab had supply issues of reagents in his		
place but across the street supplies were maintained in his own		
private lab. Patients do not trust on lab reports also because of		
their quality so there is no demand and hence no importance for		
govt. Improvement can be brought from UHC and medical		
insurance for lab testing, so that patients do not need to pay out		
of pocket and a demand can be created for govt to oblige. Need		
for economic studies on demand side lab improvement. Lab		
strengthening not enough, how to finance labs with a focus on		
quality is more urgent. WHO also sends retired scientists as		
mentors and help labs to develop QMS systems, manuals and		
protocols. Another aspect of strengthening is in biosafety-		
developing biosafety manuals, in country guidelines and		
regulations. Top 3 priorities (personally) would be-Support		
countries in short term, focus on mentoring doctors and		
coaching to scientists for lab capacity and making ministries to		
realise the importance of good lab data in treatment. In parallel,		
developing national policies and regulations for labs such as		
licencing only when a certain criterion is met. Third would be to		
develop insurance systems that include lab testing to stop out of		
pocket payments, create demand for lab tests so that there is an		

	investment. Assessment of the effectiveness- by PT testing for		
	example, accreditation achievement, number of labs		
	participating annually in PT testing. WHO has done 12 yrs of PT		
	testing but with catastrophic results-only one third of the labs		
	could do proper susceptibility testing for bacterial pathogens.		
	Reasons are same- outdated equipment, no reagents, lack of		
	proper technical training for culturing; makes Antimicrobial		
	susceptibility testing even more difficult. Viral labs better		
	prepared than bacterial labs as donors focused only on viral labs		
	as there were no vaccines or treatment for viral conditions		
	compared to bacterial conditions for which we have antibiotics		
	and secondly bacterial labs not important for rich countries so		
	not big on their agenda for donation.		
8	GSK-Africa NCD open lab team launched a proposal back in Nov		
	2014 to identify projects that were undertaking more research		
	how various NCDs impact the African patient under grant		
	funding Go-GRAM(?). An explicit requirement of the grant was to		
	have a capacity strengthening component. Five projects in five		
	different institutions in Africa shortlisted (3 yrs funding) - each		
	has capacity strengthening integrated into it by design. This		
	could include funding a PhD or MSc as part of the project,		
	consultancy or mentoring in particular area- for example linking		
	GSK statistician with local statistician in research team to		
	strengthening statistical component of an application, support		
	and training in lab kits, advice on selection of various genetic		
	markers. There is no standard type, we just provide support on		
	the request. One project is started and the rest four are in the		
	contracting stage. Each project fits in the WHO definition of NCD		
	cluster. GSK scientists involved from the beginning- including		
	writing a good protocol for the project. We have visited each		
	country to establish relationship between GSK scientists and the		
	applicant to start that person to person contact. M&E framework		
	for each project developed, also for overall program to assess		
	the impact of the project and impact on scientific knowledge,		
	expertise building at individual and institutional level. Some		
	indicators include- no. of people trained, number of training		
	events, types and roles of people trained, no. of people enrolled		

-			
	in the program as a result of the grant GSK providing, number of		
	workers trained on using equipment. Due diligence process was		
	carried out- research environment was assessed on sites for		
	initial start capabilities and identify what capacity building		
	agenda of that project should be. At pregnant stage- From the		
	institutions perspective- they were keen to portray institution in		
	positive light that perhaps presented a risk that they might be		
	obscuring some of the needs they might have so we needed to		
	build a trust relationship where they were comfortable to open		
	up. Many countries do not have experience of collaborating with		
	private sector for building capacity. And to use private sector		
	scientists for capacity building is an unfamiliar model for many		
	countries so we had to convince that visits were not an audit		
	rather to build relationship. From GSK side there were common		
	themes (wrt to problems) that can be looked for future projects-		
	institutional gaps (how to write good proposal, manage grant		
	finances) and scientific gaps which GSK chose to focus on-		
	technical support. Hoping that countries will build on training		
	and continue after GSK exits. Trying to connect investigators with		
	each other and try to create a network to give sustainability at		
	the end of the three years once we finish. Encouraging south-		
	south collaboration. Our strategy was to learn from doing and		
	learning together, living through it. GSK working with two other		
	funders for another set of calls on same principles- Newton fund		
	program with South African MRC and UK have selected 7		
	projects in SA.		
9	Three different types of programs are conducted by AMREF. 1.	Training and	1. Funding- for lower level courses where
	Refresher course in laboratory services for lower level, technical	mentoring- onsite	participants need to generate their own
	staff. Conducted in Nairobi for 10 weeks, twice a year. Usually	facility based or a	funds is challenging, even for lab
	advertised on AMREF web pages, it is designed for district level	comprehensive	management program sponsorship does
	or lower hospital lab workers. All disease types are focused and	program for all,	not cover either local or international
	provide training in bacteriology, parasitology, serology,	designing training	travels. Even after successful training
	immunoassay etc. Normally 20-30 applications are received but	and diagnostic	implementation can be challenging because
	can accommodate only 15. Participants need to find their own	manuals, SOPs	of lack of funds, therefore outcome and
	funds to attend.2. Medical Laboratory Practice and Management		impact cannot be measured. 2. Logistical
	course: conducted for 5 and half months in three phases. Phase		challenge- for lower staff training the
	1 involves 2 months of training and hand on practical sessions.		technicians may need to close the lab for
	Course material and self assessment checklist is provided for the		few days which is not feasible, and for
----	--	------------------	--
	tasks to be carried out. Phase 2 involves a two week residential		international participants issues such as
	training program in Nairobi using didactic approaches on		visas requirements, lack of proper
	leadership and mentoring. Phase 3 involves participants to		paperwork etc are common.
	develop action plans and implementation at their respective		
	institutions for which AMREF provides technical support. This		
	course attracts participants from regional or national level		
	laboratories, for example HIV/AIDS and TB referral labs and some		
	places have put quality assurance system to lead to ISO		
	certification. 3. AMRF carries out in country 2 week short		
	courses designed based on the needs of the facilities. The		
	trainers and facilitators provide onsite training, for example		
	malaria microscopy. In 1997, external competency assessment		
	of Malaria microscopy course was organised for competency		
	assessment and also developed EQA programs at primary care		
	levels where samples are sent with undisclosed results.		
10	1. Involved several projects. With MSH- a Columbia University	Establishment	Challenges are local, vary country to
	supported project in Rwanda, Burundi, DRC, Ivory Coast, Ghana.	of panel testing	country. Some places need start up from
	The focus was on HIV/TB. Work involved strengthening MoH	in two hospitals	scratch and other need improvement. Now
	capacity in general but also on lab techniques such as viral load.	in Rwanda, fully	PEPFAR and Global Fund do not support
	Also involved with PMTCT. 2. Another project involved was on	functional lab,	infrastructure development. 2. High
	NTB to strengthening MDRTB with Global Fund, MSH, PEPFAR.	use of	turnover of staff, people train abroad and
	These involved both infrastructure development and renovating	GeneXpert	move abroad so we need to start again.
	labs to 2nd or 3rd Biosafety levels. At central level the lab(s)	machine for	People are dedicated to different projects
	were completely renovated at two levels- a city hospital and a	MDRTB.	or departments within the same facility so
	peripheral level hospital to BSL level 3 for TB. Capacity of NRL		dedication for one is not there. But can't
	was developed with viral load for early infant diagnosis. And a		train all 3. Rwanda is more organised in
	separate Malaria molecular testing facility was created. 5 central		terms of supply and equipment
	labs were also developed with package of testing facilities (more		maintenance compared to Ghana, Ethiopia
	than TB). These involved technical training, local training with		and Burundi. No replacement or costs too
	manufacturers for preventative training and standardisation of		high when equipment breakdown. 4.
	equipment across all the countries. MoUs were signed with		Effectiveness is hard to measure- use MSH
	manufacturers with annual maintenance. 3. Also involved in		tool for assessment which is similar to
	human resource capacity building with HIV/TB. This involved		Makuto tool. MSH tool can be adopted
	curriculum development for nurses at national level for pre-post		according to the project. 5. Prioritisation of
	training programs. Development of guidance on standardisation		projects depends on fund and type of
	of equipment. 4. Involved in National policy on RDT for MDRTB,		infrastructure needed. Sometimes also just

vertical programs for malaria/TB and focus or approaches. Developed lab materials for heal with Columbia university for rapid testing. Co program for NTBP which was a cascade progr was provided to trainers for transport/accom they cascaded training at community level. W in collaboration with Tropical Institute Belgiu sandwiched program to train medical doctors BSL-3 level training for one month. In Ethiopia Institute of Public health to have NRL and HR development in HIV/TB/malaria. This also inv MSc/PhD program at university hospital and s for training for some time in specific techniqu parasitology and microbiology.	n community level th care workers mmunity level ToT am and support modation when l'ithin this program, m there was a s or scientists for a working with capacity olve establishing an sending candidates les on virology,	do advocacy work which has no linkages with development of NRLs or some level labs in vertical programs. 6. Many times MoH programs have no linkages with lab development. MoH is usually dominated clinicians who have not much interest in labs. 7. Countries do not take ownership and very much donor dependant (get use to advice from donors and technical experts) for example 68% of MoH staff in Rwanda are funded by external donors; b in MSH ownership is key focus on the programs.	by ?d out
11 Between Jan2012-Nov2014 was in WHO tech lab strengthening biosafety team. Developing manuals, guidelines (QMS), online SLIPTA too management guidelines. In country training in and training based on QMS rather than teach techniques. How the tests should be done, SC rapid reporting tp clinicians. Provided country Yemen and Sudan. The focus on the trainings public health laboratories rather than clinical Majority of the cases MOHs do not understar of public health labs. So we change the type of for convincing ministries. For example-instead lab achieved only 64% score on QMS which lo is a good score, we state it means one in four wrong diagnosis so as to convey the message take money from donors if it does not wish to donor agencies also have operational capacit who can direct their own plans, plus also don sustainability-local training and mentoring of microbiology techniques.	office in Lyon with tools, training bls, toolNot involved in the assessment of the effectiveness of program. Though during the training, at the end of the session we ask for general comments and advice how things can be made better in training. do f saying that your of of ministries think samples is giving s. WHO does not b. However many y, for example CDC ate to WHO. For the staff in goodNot involved in the assessment of the effectiveness of program. Though during the training, at the end of the session we ask for general comments and advice how things can be made better in training. WHO only provides service on request, countries sometimes carry out their own assessments.	Lack of women in lab leadership roles. Fo example in Yemen, Sudan, Egypt several women in the labs but most of them at what men perceived to be low level jobs. low income countries such as Laos PDR- system is very basic so challenging to implement and train people, language barriers, infrastructure issues so even within all LMICs, situation is very differen 2. Country needs do not necessarily matc with what donor wants. And as LMICs are dependent on future donations, they accept the donor money. Not an equitabl partnership. For example, for one lab in Lao/Vietnam- 6 PCR were donated for 6 different diseases and working in silos. Donors sometimes also work in conflict with each other, and local labs struggle to balance different donor demands. Donor coercion exists. Donor money sometimes creates a patch rather than a comprehensive, systematic development the lab. 3. WHO twinning program not ve	r In t. h h le

		successful. The expectation that the stds of
		the labs in poor countries will be similar to
		rich countries is factually incorrect- and
		there is a brain drain. For example- during
		Ebola in Sierra Leone, people said there are
		more doctors of Sierra Leone outside Sierra
		Leone than in the country. There is a need
		for a system to be in place where career
		pathways of researchers should be tied
		with the grant to serve in-country for a
		certain period of time. ROSO- return to
		service obligation (as seen in Australian
		military). Government needs to provide an
		attractive environment to stop brain drain.
		mutual respect and appreciation, gender
		balance.

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
1	Strengthening national laboratories health systems in the Caribbean Region	2012	Caribbean- St. Lucia, St Vincent, The Grenadines, Grenada, Antigua, Barbuda, St. Kitts and Nevis, Dominica, Barbados, Trinidad and Tobago, Belize, Suriname, Jamaica, the Bahamas,	HIV - PEPFAR	Societal
2	Building laboratory infrastructure to support scale of HIV/AIDS treatment, care and function	2009	Nigeria- 26/36 states in Nigeria	HIV/TB and OIs	Primary, secondary, tertiary
3	Animal health: harmonisation and distribution of pathogen detection and differentiations tools	2008	East Europe, Asia n(Pakistan/China), Middle East and Africa	animal pathogens- Transboundary animal diseases (Ringerpest, FMD PPR) CCHF	Regional and international
4	Standardisation of pathology laboratories in Pakistan: problems and prospects	2009	Pakistan	all	national
5	Laboratory quality improvement in Tanzania	2015	Tanzania	All/US Global Health Initiative (GHI)	Regional and district
6	Control and prevention of canine rabies: the need for building laboratory based surveillance capacity	2013	global	rabies	International, national and local
7	World Health Organisation/HIVResNet drug resistance laboratory strategy	2008	International/global	HIV	WHO/national governments
8	Rapidly building Global Health Security Capacity- Uganda Demonstration Project, 2013	2014	Uganda	TB, Cholera and Ebola/	Primary, secondary, tertiary

Annex 2: Geographical coverage, disease context and operational level of capacity strengthening of studies found in the literature

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
9	Rehabilitating public health infrastructure in post conflict setting: epidemic prevention and preparedness in Kosovo	2001	Kosovo	All infectious diseases/ WHO and IRC	Primary, secondary, tertiary
10	Strengthening tuberculosis diagnosis in a low-resource setting: experience learned in Dodoma, Tanzania	2013	Tanzania	ТВ	Regional
11	Non traditional security and infectious diseases in ASEAN: going beyond the rhetoric of securitisation to deeper institutionalisation	2008	ASEAN countries	Pandemic Influenza/WHO and national governments	National and regional
12	Building public health capacity in Afghanistan to implement the international health regulations: a role of security forces	2010	Afghanistan	All infectious diseases/WHO and USA	Primary, secondary and tertiary
13	Strengthening public laboratory service in sub-Saharan Africa: Uganda case study	2011	Uganda	HIV and STIS/PEPFAR	National and regional
14	Capacity building of public health laboratories in Afghanistan: challenges and successes	2014	Afghanistan	All diseases/ US Naval Medical Research Unit 3	Local and regional
15	Building laboratory capacity to support the global rotavirus surveillance network	2013	global	rotavirus diseases- diarrhoea/ WHO	global
16	Expansion of global measles and rubella laboratory network 2005- 2009	2011	global	Measles and Rubella/ WHO	subnational, national, regional, global

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
17	Assisting cytopathology training in	2011	Africa- Uganda, Nigeria, Kenya,	All/	All levels
	medically under-resourced		Tanzania, South Africa		
	countries				
18	Impact of international laboratory	2011	China, India, Peru, Russia,	HIV/STI (HSV2, syphilis,	local
	partnerships on the performance		Zimbabwe	Chlamydia,	
	of HIV/sexually transmitted			gonorrhoea,	
	infection testing in five resource-			trichonomas vaginalis/	
	constrained countries			NIH	
19	The World Health Organisation	2010	Africa	All infectious	All levels
	African Regional Laboratory			diseases/WHO	
	Accreditation Process				
20	Building laboratory capacity to	2015	Nigeria	HIV/PEPFAR	Primary, secondary,
	support HIV care in Nigeria:				tertiary
	Harvard/APIN PEPFAR, 2004-2012				
21	Building capacity for the	2012	South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe,	HIV	
	assessment of HIV drug		Uganda, Kenya, Nigeria		
	resistance: experiences from the				
	pharmaccess african studies to				
	evaluate resistance network.				
22	Surveillance of antimicrobial	2010	India (Delhi, Mumbai, Vellore)		
	resistance in resource-constricted		South Africa (Brits, Durban)		
	settings- experience from five				
	pilot projects				
23	WHO global Salm-Surv external	2009	Global	diarrhoeal	national
	quality assurance system for			illnesses/WHO	
	serotyping of salmonella isolates				
	from 2000 to 2007				
24	Developing laboratory systems	2009	Africa	HIV/PEPFAR	All levels
	and infrastructure for HIV scale				
	up: a tool for health systems				

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
	strengthening in resource limited				
	settings				
25	Strengthening systems for	2011	Rwanda	All/	all
	communicable disease				
	surveillance: creating laboratory				
	network in Rwanda				
26	Capacity building and predictors	2013	Asia (India, China, South Korea,	HIV/ amfAR, Dutch	All levels
	of success for HIV1 drug		Japan, Thailand, Vietnam,	Ministry of foreign	
	resistance testing in the Asia-		Taiwan, Malaysia, Singapore).	affairs	
	Pacific Region and Africa		Africa (South Africa, Uganda)		
27	Evidence-based approach to the	2010	China, Dominican Republic, El		all
	maintenance of laboratory and		Salvador, Ghana, Haiti, Honduras,		
	medical equipment in resource		Nicaragua, Sierra Leone, Sudan,		
	poor settings		Tanzania, Ukraine.		
28	Impact of horizontal approach in	2013	Ethiopia	HIV, malaria, TB/	Primary care
	vertical program: continuous			PEPFAR	
	quality improvement of malaria				
	and TB diagnostic services at				
	primary level medical hospitals in				
	the context of HIV care and				
	treatment program in Ethiopia				
29	Implementation of quality system	2005	Low resource countries	HIV/US CDC- Global	All levels
	approach for laboratory practice			AIDS Programme (GAP)	
	in resource-constrained countries				
30	Working toward a sustainable	2014	Mozambique	All diseases/ WHO	central, provincial, district
	laboratory quality improvement			AFRO	and health centres
	programme through country				
	ownership: Mozambique's SMLTA				
	story				

Study Number	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
31	Establishing PT scheme in developing countries: examples from Africa	2012	Africa	All/ German PTB	All levels
32	CLSI: building laboratory capacity in Africa	2009	Global	HIV, TB, Malaria/PEPFAR	All levels
33	Public Health laboratory systems development in East Africa through training in laboratory management and field epidemiology	2011	Africa (Kenya, Tanzania, Ghana, Sudan, Uganda, South Sudan)	All infectious diseases/	
34	The operation, quality and costs of a district hospital laboratory service in Malawi	2003	Malawi	HIV, malaria, TB	District level
35	Clinical laboratory networks contribute to strengthening disease surveillance. The RESAOLAB project in west Africa	2013	Mali, Burkina Faso, Senegal	HIV, malaria, TB/French Development Agency (AFD), Fondation Merieux	
36	Improved clinical and laboratory skills after team based, malaria case management training of health care professionals in Uganda	2012	Uganda	Malaria/Accordia Global Health Foundation, IDI	
37	Laboratory capacity for diagnosis of foot and mouth disease in Eastern Africa: implications for the progressive control pathway	2013	Eastern Africa	Foot and mouth disease FAO/OIE	
38	A systematic approach to capacity strengthening of laboratory systems for control of neglected	2014	Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Sri Lanka	NTD/DFID	

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
	tropical diseases in Ghana, Kenya,				
	Malawi and Sri Lanka				
39	Training and service in Public	2014	Nigeria	All diseases/FMOH	
	Health, Nigeria Field Epidemiology				
	and Laboratory training, 2008-				
	2014				
40	Critical role of developing national	2009	Ethiopia	HIV/PEPFAR, Global	All levels
	strategic plans as a guide to			Funds, Clinton	
	strengthening laboratory health			Foundation	
	systems in resource poor settings				
41	Laboratory systems and services	2010	Resource poor countries	All diseases/PEPFAR,	All levels
	are critical in global health: time			Global Funds, GHI	
	to end the neglect				
42	Country leadership and policy are	2010	Uganda	All diseases/PEPFAR,	All levels
	critical factors for implementing			Global Funds, Clinton	
	laboratory accreditation in			Foundation	
	developing countries. A study on				
	Uganda				
43	Antimicrobial resistance: capacity	2014	Кепуа	all infectious diseases	
	and practices among clinical				
	laboratories in Kenya, 2013				
44	Strengthening Laboratory systems	2010			
	in resource limited settings				
45	Use of web based training for	2013	Nigeria		primary
	quality improvement between a				
	field immunohistochemistry				
	laboratory in Nigeria and its US				
	based partner institution				
46	Strategy for strengthening	2009			
	scientific capacity in developing				

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
	countries on water and sanitation				
	related issues				
47	Improvement of Tuberculosis	2012	Tanzania	TB/Ivo de Carneri	
	Laboratory capacity on Pemba			Foundation Italy	
	island, Zanzibar: a health				
	cooperation project				
46	Experience establishing	2010	Lesotho	WHO	National level
	tuberculosis laboratory capacity in				
	developing country context				
47	Capacity building in response to	2012	Lao PDR	Pandemic Influenza	
	pandemic influenza threats: Lao				
	PDR case study				
48	Medical laboratory quality and	2009	Jordan		
	accreditation in Jordan				
48	Role of Laboratories and	2007		IB	
	Laboratory systems in effective				
10	tuberculosis programmes	2012			
49	Certification of TB culture and	2012	India		
	drug susceptibility testing				
	National TR control programme				
	(PNITCD)				
50	(NNTCF) Capacity building efforts by the	2011	global	All infectious	all
50		2011	Biobai	diseases/USG_CDC_US	
				Agency for	
				International	
				Development, DoD-	
				GEIS	
51	Capacity building for zoonotic and	2010	Mediterranean and Middle Fast	Zoonotic diseases/	
	foodborne diseases in the				
	Mediterranean and Middle East				

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
	Regions (an intersectoral				
	WHO/MZCP proposed strategy)				
52	Scale up of MDRTB laboratory	2008	Peru	ТВ	
	services, Peru				
53	ASM LabCap's contributions to	2010	Botswana, China, Cote d'Ivoire,	Infectious	
	disease surveillance and		Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, India,	diseases/USAID, CDC	
	International health regulations		Kenya, Mozambique, Namibia,		
	(2005)		Nigeria, Rwanda, Tanzania,		
			Thailand, Vietnam, Zambia,		
			Zimbabwe		
54	The WHO/PEPFAR collaboration	2009	Sub-Saharan Africa	HIV/PEPFAR	Primary care
	to prepare an Operations Manual				
	for HIV prevention, Care and				
	Treatment at Primary Health				
	Centres in High prevalence,				
	resource constrained settings				
55	POPs analysis reveals issues in	2013	Africa (Egypt, Ghana, Kenya, Mali,	POPs	
	bringing laboratories in		Mauritius, Nigeria, Senegal,		
	developing countries to a higher		Uganda and Zambia), Central and		
	quality level		South America (Barbados, Brazil,		
			Chili, Cuba, Ecuador, Jamaica,		
			Mexico, Peru and Uruguay),		
50		2010	South Pacific (Fiji)		
56	Laboratory capacity building in	2010	Asia (Thailand, Vietnam,	all infectious diseases	National regional
	Asia for infectious diseases		Indonesia, Singapore)		
	research: experiences from the			particular)/ NIH, NIAID,	
	South East Asia Infectious Disease			vvelicome i rust	
		2010			
57	The role of standards and training	2010			
	in preparing for accreditation				

Study	Title	Year of	Country/Regional Context	Disease Context and	Operational level of
Number		publication		funder	capacity strengthening
58	Improving quality management	2010	Uganda	All diseases/ WHO	
	systems of laboratories in				
	developing countries				
59	The SLMTA programme:	2014	Cameroon, Lesotho,	WHO	All levels
	transforming the laboratory		Mozambique, Mozambique,		
	landscape in developing countries		Rwanda, Zimbabwe		
60	Field experience in implementing	2010	Кепуа		National
	ISO 15189 in Kimisu, Kenya				

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
1	Strengthening national laboratories health systems in the Caribbean Region(11)	Sensitisation meetings were held with MoH officials and laboratory directors. This was followed by a detailed laboratory assessment. Follow up assessment by PAHO	All the countries had capacity to carry out smear microscopy, haematology testing and clinical chemistry testing. 6 countries could perform in country HIV confirmation, 3 countries could roll out HIVRT and do viral load testing, 8 countries conducted CD4 testing. None of the countries conducted DNA PCR testing or HIV drug resistance testing. Clinical laboratory monitoring was challenging for 6 OECS countries including molecular testing, viral load quantification (fig2). Only 5.2% of the labs were accredited. All countries faced procurement and service contract challenges. None of the countries had government owned accredited lab and only 45% of the countries participated in EQA programs. Little above 20% countries had lab strategic plans or information systems	Cumbersome process of testing and reporting results, long turnaround times, Point of care diagnosis was non- existent, fewer infants receiving care and treatment. Quality assurance was weak, procurement challenges existed in all countries. There were several service interruptions leading to inaccurate diagnosis and monitoring of the patients. Tracking of the data was difficult, no standardised data collection or reporting of the results.
2	Building laboratory infrastructure to support scale of HIV/AIDS treatment, care and function	Multifaceted approach included building lab infrastructure, management, and laboratory personnel training for an effective, integrated tiered referral lab network, adoption of appropriate technologies at all levels and a robust QA/QC program.	Development of 'Hub and spoke network model'. Hubs- tertiary care teaching hospitals, spokes as secondary hospitals, community clinics and health centres. Between 2005- 2008 more than 237000 patients are counselled and screened for HIV and	

Annex 3: Description of interventions and their results and impact found in the literature

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			referred HIV+ clients to care, 70000 for	
			basic care and support for HIV and	
			45000 for highly active ART regiments,	
			10000 for TB screening	
3	Animal health:	workshop on harmonisation and	1. Spain- rPCR led to rapid	
	harmonisation and	distribution of pathogen detection and	performance, sensitive, reproducible	
	distribution of pathogen	differentiation tools. Involved	and reduction in risk for carry over	
	detection and	presentation of different diagnostic	contamination. 2. Pakistan-	
	differentiations tools	tests for various animal conditions	confirmatory testing for bacterial and	
			parasitic diseases in farm animals.	
4	Standardisation of	Narrative article	The article outlines the challenges in	
	pathology laboratories in		standardisation of labs at international	
	Pakistan: problems and		level. These included lack of	
	prospects		pathologists (2.6 per million),	
			accessibility to medical literature and	
			education. Import of IMDs from	
			abroad with questionable quality	
			assurance. No requirements for	
			revalidation, and no federal authority	
			for examination and certification of	
			IMDs, No ISO 15189 accreditation lab,	
			costs of ISO accreditation but a	
			national EQA program exists. Large	
			number of small size labs competing	
			with isolated large chain labs	
			threatening business.	
5	Laboratory quality	1. 12 regional and district labs were	At the baseline assessment only 1 lab	Personal interest and
	improvement in	selected as cohort for initial assessment	had one star which improved to 7 labs	commitment of lab managers
	Tanzania	. 2. Hands on activity based training	having one to three star scores.	and quality officer were
		was in three short sessions with three	However post one year re-audit the	important for success. Clarity
			scores declined for all labs who	in the intent of accreditation

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		month gap. 3. Re-audit was conducted at different intervals	received stars, and only one star was received by 5/9 labs assessed.	and workshop was important. Importance of a mentor was critical as well as conducting intervention in local language.
6	Control and prevention of canine rabies: the need for building laboratory based surveillance capacity	a pathway for surveillance system characterised by standardisation and decentralisation, locally based coordination, interpretation and integration of different approaches was suggested	Proposed pathway for a global surveillance system for canine rabies	
7	World Health Organisation/HIVResNet drug resistance laboratory strategy	narrative	Developing a network of individual laboratories based on capacity and expertise to perform specific duties supporting WHO recommended HIVDR surveys. The global network is organised on three levels, national drug resistance laboratories (NDRLs), regional drug resistance laboratories (RDRLs) and global specialised drug resistance laboratories (SDRLs)	
8	Consensus and accuracy in haematology laboratories of developing countries: the Jordinian experience	Study involved sending control specimens of whole blood and freshly prepared blood smears to 50 laboratories each month to determine PCV, Hb, RBC and WBC; and blood smears for counting differential WBC count after staining	Comparison of the re-calculated means of measured parameters between cell counter and manual methods showed manual methods gave lower mean values. The difference was significant for RBC and WBC. The percentage for Jordanian laboratories achieving medically useful analytical performance was 99% (PCV), 97.2 (Hb), 99.5 (WBC)	The ways in which results were provided, clarity and accuracy became better because of the competition between different labs. However, using all methods mean as target value is not useful in places where manual methods are dominant, as shown by this

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
				study where manual method
				results were lower than that
				of RBC and WBC cell counts
9	Rapidly building Global Health Security Capacity- Uganda Demonstration Project, 2013	1. Strengthening the public health laboratory system by increasing the capacity of diagnostic and specimen referral networks.2. enhancing communication and information systems for outbreak response 3. developing public health emergency operating centre (EOC)	1. Upgrading of cold-chain system for specimen, algorithms for 3 priority specimen, distribution of SOPs, posters and case definitions. Overall improvements in organisational management, 10 labs improved documentation, 3 biorisk and biosafety. Overall the baseline scores changed from 20-36% to 34-55%. 2. Customised modules for each priority pathogen into DHIS-2. 3. SMS notification and feedback for samples, sample tracking alerts.	3 areas of focus for efficient and sustainable approach to enhance capacity building were identified- detection of health threats through laboratory and other systems, coordination of information and response through EOCs and prevention of avoidable threats. A need for holistic approach involved these three areas. Expansion of the system to other pathogens including Zika, Hep E et.
10	Rehabilitating public health infrastructure in post conflict setting: epidemic prevention and preparedness in Kosovo	1. Extensive consultations conducted between WHO, IPH, UNHCR to develop a program design, with WHO as lead agency to provide technical support. 2 WHO as lead agency coordinating with IRC to develop 6 focussed interventions	The interventions included: 1. Kosovo Health surveys-violent trauma main reason for 64% of deaths, vaccination coverage rate for children under 5 <20%, management of diarrheal diseases poor. 2% of the mobile accessed mobile health clinics run by NGOs. 2. Standardised case definitions and case-management protocols- clinical case management protocols were developed for 14 infectious diseases and distributed to health professional, primary care and poly	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			clinics, and clinical epidemiologists. 3.	
			Public Health Surveillance system-	
			Infectious diseases surveillance and	
			response commission comprising of	
			epidemiologists, microbiologists,	
			public health managers from WHO, IRC	
			and IPH was formed; with data analysis	
			and interpretation at 6 regional IPH	
			offices coordinated by central IPH	
			office in Pristina. Training of IPH staff	
			on surveillance systems, and national	
			wide training of primary care clinicians	
			on case definitions and surveillance	
			forms. 4. Rehabilitation of	
			Microbiology Laboratories- significant	
			deficiencies in staffing, equipment and	
			supplies were found in seven	
			laboratories that were assessed.	
			Training was provided for	
			microbiological testing, and priority	
			equipment and supplies were provided	
			. 5 Establishment of community based	
			public health education and promotion	
			campaign-Commission for health	
			promotion was established with	
			representatives from WHO, IPH and	
			NGOs who developed policies and	
			protocols for community outreach	
			with focus on media campaign on	
			HIV/AIDS, STIs, safe motherhood,	
			violence against women. 6.	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			Development of epidemic response	
			capacity- 5 epidemic response teams	
			(each with 4-5 members) were	
			established at regional offices,	
			workshop on epidemic preparedness	
			and response was also organised.	
11	Strengthening	1. Restructuring of the Tuberculosis	1. Three laboratory personnel were	Cooperation program led to
	tuberculosis diagnosis in	section and separating it from the main	trained in TB diagnosis and biosafety	an increase in the number of
	a low-resource setting:	lab. 2. Purchase of new equipment for	procedures who further trained other	samples and case detection
	experience learned in	implementing TB microscopy and	DRH personnel. 2. Implementation of	rates
	Dodoma, Tanzania	culture. 3 Personnel training to improve	sputum smear microscopy led to an	
		quality of TB diagnosis, introduction of	increase in reporting of TB cases from	
		sputum microscopy, TB culture and	11.2% in 2009 to 14.2% in 2010. 3.	
		external EQA.	Introduction of TB cultures increased	
			the positive confirmatory drug	
			susceptibility testing. 4. DRH	
			coordinated EQA was conducted for 10	
			peripheral labs.	
16	Non traditional security	narrative	A. WHO and ASEAN funded networks	
	and infectious diseases		include. 1.Deploying resources for	
	in ASEAN: going beyond		national and regional laboratories for	
	the rhetoric of		speedy diagnosis of cases of human	
	securitisation to deeper		infection and stockpiling of drug and	
	institutionalisation		vaccines.2. Developing website of	
			ASEAN-Disease Surveillance Network.	
			3. Development og ASEAN Plus Three	
			(APT) framework. 4. Establishment of	
			APT Emerging Infectious Diseases (EID)	
			Program. 5. Development of East Asian	
			Summit (EAS) and EAS Declaration on	
			Avian Influenza Prevention, Control	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			and Response. B. US-Funded REDI	
			network for tracking, controlling and	
			researching emerging infections.	
17	Building public health capacity in Afghanistan to implement the international health regulations: a role of security forces	1. FETP- training program for two years.2 DEWS- syndromic surveillance system. 3. PRT- clinic construction, medical training, purchase of medical equipment and text books, patient care.		
18	Strengthening public laboratory service in sub-Saharan Africa: Uganda case study	narrative	Capacity building pyramid is suggested utilising the resources from existing programs such as PEPFAR and SLMTA. This pyramid refers to a stepwise process leading to getting WHO-AFRO accreditation based on SLMTA.	
19	Capacity building of public health laboratories in Afghanistan: challenges and successes	1. Needs assessment was carried out with focus on human capital, infrastructure, management and training. 2. Establishment of disease warning system sharing surveillance data with WHO, FAO, USAID. This also included lab based disease surveillance and research. 3. CPHL reserved as national reference lab for outbreak reports. 4. Training of laboratory staff	1.After needs assessment space remodelling and renovations were done in CPHL to accommodate new equipment for diagnostics. Upgrading of provincial hospitals to conduct bacterial culture and serology.2. 300 laboratory sessions for 140 trainees at different sites. 76 days of internal training for 236 Afghan health care professionals using NAMRU-3 materials. 40 technicians, 4 field epidemiologists and 10 support staff were recruited to train exclusively under NAMRU-3 to perform diagnostic procedures following SOPs. 3.Disease early warning system sites increased	Fulfilling of WHO IHR regulations by Afghanistan through huge leap in monitoring the burden of infectious diseases. Improved vaccination programs, decrease in mortality rates for young children from 257/1000 in 2002 to 191/1000 in 2008. Increase in life expectancy from 42 to 61 years

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
Number			from 123 in 2007 to 344 by 2013. 4. CPHL recognised by WHO as Afghan national influenza centre given the expanded capacity for pandemic flu. Improved diagnostic capacity in CPHL for other illnesses such as acute febrile illness, water diarrhoea and vector borne disease.	Recommendations
20	Building laboratory capacity to support the global rotavirus surveillance network	supporting surveillance activities including sentinel site hospital selection, specimen and data flow management, lab performance monitoring and regional meeting planning.	107 sentinel hospital laboratories, 36 national laboratories, 9 regional reference labs, one global reference lab has been established. Sentinel sites- enrol children<5 yrs hospitalised with acute gastroenteritis and confirm, presence of rotavirus in stool. National labs- testing, specimen storage, selection and distribution of positive specimen for genotyping. Rotavirus regional labs (RRL)- bulk genotyping. Global reference lab- technical support to RRL, training, QA, QC, provision of reagents and procedures.	1.Establishment of a rotavirus laboratory technical working group in 2012 to increase standardisation of methods and procedures. Standardisation in genotyping data collection, developing SOP for sample handling, storage and shipping; routine confirmation of subset of genotypes. 2. Number of reporting countries increased from 44 (2008) to 64 (2011), sentinel hospitals from 132 to 185. Number of children enrolled- 41414 to 48947, detection rates from 36% to 41%, 5 globally prevalent genotypes identified,
21	Expansion of global measles and rubella laboratory network 2005-2009	Network consisting of subnational level to global reference laboratory for surveillance of measles and rubella, in each WHO region. The network has	1. By 2010- 690 labs attached to the network which follow standardised set of testing protocols, reporting procedures and strong focus on QA.	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		focus on testing strategies, quality	National level-162, regional reference	
		assurance and surveillance indicator,	19, global 3 and sub national 506. 2	
		coordination and integration.	Two to three regional labs selected in	
			each region as centre for excellence. 4.	
			Comprehensive evaluation of sampling	
			techniques using IgM detection, viral	
			RNA detection, sequencing molecular	
			surveillance, temperature stability and	
			ease of use. 5. 220 laboratories	
			globally participating in proficiency	
			testing program at all levels. 5.	
			Laboratories expanded detection and	
			surveillance into yellow fever in central	
			and western africa (23), Japanese	
			encephalitis in SEAR (13), WPR (9), HPV	
			(10). 173,000 test conducted for	
			measles in 2009.	
22	Assisting cytopathology	Suggestions are made for different ways	Between 2007-2010 a series of in	
	training in medically	of training cytopathologists to use FNB	country cytology tutorials were	
	under-resourced	for diagnosis. These include-internet	organised, conducted by western	
	countries	based distance learning courses, series	experts. Uganda- 2, Nigeria-2, Kenya-3,	
		of cytology tutorials run in-country by	Tanzania-2, Ibadan-1, South Africa-1	
		international experts periodically,		
		Sandwich fellowships in the UK for		
		medical trainees. telepathology for		
		primary reporting or second opinions,		
		shipping specimen		
23	Impact of international	Pilot Ethnographic study was conducted	The initial trial was conducted to find	
	laboratory partnerships	in each country to identify high risk	vulnerable population and social	
	on the performance of	populations, specific venues they are	congregating points and collect	
	HIV/sexually transmitted	located and identified popular opinion	samples for QC/QA	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
24	infection testing in five resource-constrained countries Impact of international laboratory partnerships on the performance of HIV/sexually transmitted infection testing in five resource-constrained countries	leaders. 2. Post pilot study trial was implemented- in-depth risk behaviour assessment interviews at baseline at 12 months and 24 months involving 40-188 participants in each 20-40 community venues per country. 18147 participants recruited in 138 venues in 5 countries and 54438 specimen collected over 3 time points Post pilot study QC/QA was carried out with three major components. 1. personnel training of lab personnel before the trial and during the trial, on- site training 2. Manuals for the multi- country study. 3. ongoing QA monitoring of study procedures. For these 2 new labs were constructed in India and Russia, upgrading of two labs in China and Zimbabwe and use of US Military lab in Peru	1. Training- 2nd training of lab managers had 100% results syphilis and trichomonas testing. 3 sites- 100% correct HIV EIA and WB testing. Two sites participating in CT/NG testing had 100% results. Proficiency panel results for in-country labs-majority of the countries had between 85 to 100% results in panel testing for 7 diseases. Reference lab QA- 80-100% results were achieved. There was a continuous progression of the QA in	
25	The World Health	The WHO stop wise accreditation	the countries over the years of training and monitoring.	
25	Organisation African Regional Laboratory Accreditation Process	process is designed to address the gap between the requirements of ISO15189 and current status of labs in Africa. A systematic effective quality management system for lab testing, strong QA, QC and QI including pre- analytical and post analytical processes.	accreditation process include 1. Standards and assessment tools- based on ISO15189:2007 (E) with 12 categorical sections for assessment on the basis of 110 clauses and 250 points. 2 Assessor and assessor training- drawn from labs in Africa, the	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			assessors will be trained in Kenya	
			(English speaking) and Cameroon	
			(French speaking) but cannot assess	
			their own country labs and not	
			financially compensated.3. Equipment	
			calibration and biosafety- work with	
			Field Epidemiology Network Lab in	
			Uganda for training. 4. Laboratory	
			Management training and Mentoring-	
			Development of SMLTA which after	
			initial assessment provides a series of	
			training sessions to build national	
			training teams for SMLTA in 12	
			countries for labs till facility level. 5.	
			Proficiency testing- Dept of	
			Bacteriology and Virology of Dantec	
			Hospital, Dakar, Digital PT, National	
			Institute for communicable diseases,	
			national health lab services South	
			Africa will provide PT for several	
			diseases using serology, microbiology,	
			chemistry, haematology and	
			parasitological testing.	
26	Building laboratory	1. A three level primary, secondary,	1. 35 laboratories were developed in	1. Significant impact was seen
	capacity to support HIV	tertiary network of laboratories was	total. 18 major sites managed (8	on overall health system
	care in Nigeria:	organised and linked for HIV testing and	tertiary and 10 secondary level labs). 7	strengthening through a
	Harvard/APIN PEPFAR,	diagnosis. Primary care-rapid testing,	labs designated as Centre of Excellence	variety of approaches
	2004-2012	blood samples. Secondary level-	by Nigerian Ministry of Health. 2. All	including training of the
		serology, CD4+, haematology, clinical	secondary and tertiary labs also had	trainers, utilising centralised
		chemistry setting. Storage for VL, DBS.	capacity for TB diagnosis, treatment	training conferences for
		Tertiary level-large HIV ART programs at	and care, and two for MDR TB testing	assurance of standardisation

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		university associated hospitals. 2. Clinic	and using this for national TB control	and network exercise. 2.
		selection after detail assessment from	program. 3. Harvard/APIN PEPFAR	Electronic data management
		site visit, followed by needs assessment.	supported labs conducted over 2.5	led to decrease in the
		3. Standardisation in equipment	million tests and results for HIV from	transcription errors,
		procurement and training. Lab	2004-2012. EID testing expanded 10	turnaround time, aggregate
		modifications for effective logical	fold from 2007 to 2008 with over 9000	reporting at national level,
		sample flow and processing, supply	HIV exposed infants tested. From 2009	development of treatment
		chain for test kits with two warehouses	testing was completely taken over by	response utility system for
		for distribution. 3 Trained on-site	APIN	comprehensive picture of
		engineers (varying expertise) for		treatment profile of
		equipment maintenance. 4. Electronic		individual patient and help in
		medical records system for data		clinical decision making. 3.
		management linked by local computer		Harvard/PEPFAR labs
		networks for easy flow of information		subscribed to EQA and 6 labs
		within each site. 5. Tertiary labs to		were included in SMLTA roll
		provide trainings to staff at secondary		out in 2010, with one lab
		and primary level.		achieving 5 star, five 4 stars.
27	Building capacity for the	A network of 6 countries in Africa was	During the 5 annual networking	
	assessment of HIV drug	developed with specific focus on HIVDR	meetings 100 clinicians and 86 labs	
	resistance: experiences	surveillance through population level	received training. PASER-M achieved	
	from the pharmaccess	assessment for HIV1 DR and patient	96% (n=3007) patient recruitment with	
	African studies to	follow up during 1 and 2 line ART	82% retained in the 12 months follow	
	evaluate resistance	(PASER Monitoring/PASER M). The	up.	
	network.	chosen sites were given laboratory		
		training in GLP, Good Molecular		
		diagnostic Practices, sample handling		
		and documentation using web based		
		specimen track and trace system. A		
		limited number of central reference		
		labs were chosen for testing and		
		ensuring standardisation and quality		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		assurance. More than 2 EQA were done		
		and PT was carried out before		
		genotyping. 2. Central web based		
		ViroScore Suite Database was used for		
		all data sequences for storage and		
		quality control. 3 To mitigate expensive		
		costs of genotyping- a private public		
		consortium ART-A was developed for		
		novel, simple diagnostic technology for		
		HIV viral load testing, detecting and		
		interpretation of HIVDR in clinics and		
		labs. 3. Regular monitoring visits to sites		
		were conducted that also included		
		teaching and training of basic research		
		skills to investigators, clinicians, nurses,		
		lab technicians. Also followed by annual		
		network meetings.		
28	Surveillance of	1. Three site in India (Delhi, Mumbai,	High resistance rates were found in all	
	antimicrobial resistance	Vellore) and two in South Africa (Brits,	sites, and in Vellore no difference in	
	in resource-constricted	Durban) were chosen for study. All in	settings was found between urban and	
	settings- experience	urban areas attached to big hospitals,	rural populations. In Mumbai, the pre-	
	from five pilot projects	and Vellore also had access to rural	and post-antibiotic use in the samples	
		settings. 2 Each site was given a	did not vary significantly between	
		framework protocol to collect	groups. In Mumbai, Brits and Durban	
		community based AMR data every	where samples were collected from	
		month for 12 months with one or two	different facilities, no difference was	
		bacteria as indicators.3. E.Coli was used	found in resistance rates. Data from	
		an indicator at 4 sites (3 India, I South	two sites that distinguished	
		Africa) and faecal from patients, urine	commensals from pathogens showed	
		was collected from pregnant women.	higher AMR rates among E. Coli	
		The antibiotics tested included	causing UTI for all antibiotics tested.	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin. In South Africa, S. Pneumonia and H. Influenzae were obtained from sputa of the patients and ampiclin, cotrimoxazole, chloramphenicol, and erythromycin. 4. Resistance was tested only for ABMs commonly used for treatment of infections in the community.		
29	WHO global Salm-Surv external quality assurance system for serotyping of salmonella isolates from 2000 to 2007	narrative	In 2000 WHO established Global Salm- Surv EQAS to enhance lab based surveillance of salmonella infections and other food borne diseases through enhanced serotyping of Salmonella species. 2. Assessment of laboratory capacities for correctly serotyping by shipping 8 blinded salmonella isolates to labs. Submission of results to EQAS web based reporting system with secured individual passcode .3. Results are given as a report itemizing errors relative to the expected results and can be used by participants to evaluate accuracy of current techniques and quality of anti-sera in labs	
30	WHO global Salm-Surv external quality assurance system for serotyping of salmonella	8 Salmonella strains were selected for each EQAS iteration. Except the strain for Salmonella serovar Enteritidis, all other strains were included once only in EQAS iterations in 2000, 01, 04, 06, 07.	1. 249 labs in 97 countries participated in EQAS from 2000 to 2007. 44labs/35 countries in 2000, 96labs/55countries in 2001, 99 labs/61 countries in 2002, 127labs/72countries in 2003, 127	Important regional differences in serotyping results for Salmonella species.

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
	isolates from 2000 to	Testing instructions with participating	labs/71 countries in 2004,	
	2007	laboratory record sheet on CD with	130labs/66countries in 2006, 140	
		Salmonella Agar stab cultures were sent	labs/68 countries in 2007 participated.	
		to participating countries under IATA	2. The average number of labs per	
		regulations. Results were submitted	EQAS iteration between 2000-07 was	
		either online via secure site or fax or	102. 3. 125 labs participated in 3 tp 4	
		email.	iterations and 92 in four or more.4.	
			54% to 92% labs performed serotyping	
			on all 8 strains. 5. The percentage of	
			correct serotyping was 76% (2000),	
			72%(2001), 91% (2002), 80% (2003),	
			88% (2007). Reporting of zero errors	
			increased from 48% in 2000 to 68% in	
			2007. 6. The rate of errors ranged from	
			41% in 2006 to 3.6% in 2007	
31	Developing laboratory	Role of PEPFAR 1 and 2 in strengthening	Examples included 1. Human capacity	
	systems and	laboratory systems for HIV scale up is	development-African Centre for	
	infrastructure for HIV	described. The areas include 1. Human	Integrated Laboratory Training in	
	scale up: a tool for	capacity development 2 infrastructure	Jo'burg South Africa to provide south	
	health systems	and logistics and supply chain	to south training. 2. Performance	
	strengthening in	management and development. 3.	based financing in Rwanda for staff	
	resource limited settings	Quality assurance. 4. laboratory data	retention, pay increase for pharmacists	
		collection and indicators. 5	in Botswana. 3. Infrastructure-	
		harmonisation	National Laboratory Strategic Plan for	
			Ethiopian Health and Nutrition	
			Research Institute (EHNRI) where	
			national reference lab, 4 regional	
			hospitals, 6 regional labs are	
			renovated. Rwanda 'common basket'	
			for implementing partners to	
			contribute and national central	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			purchasing unit (CAMERWA). Supply	
			issues in cold chain addressed by	
			propane powered refrigerators in	
			Nigeria.5. Quality Assurance- APIN	
			conducts QA in Nigeria, National	
			Institute of Medical Research in	
			Nigeria is ISO certified. 6. Laboratory	
			data collection and indicators- PEPFAR	
			2 and ICAP as tools for assessment. 7	
			Harmonisation- EHNRI Ethiopia	
			oversees all standardisation process in	
			the country working with US SCMS for	
			procurement and maintenance of	
			equipment.	
32	Strengthening systems	EQAS was conducted by WHO-AFRO, US	1. Surveillance- After ISDR	Improvements in strain
	for communicable	CDC for Rwanda to assess national lab	implementation in 2001, disease	isolations by NRL. For
	disease surveillance:	network	priorities were streamlined with 19	Cholera- from 46 specimen
	creating laboratory		high priority diseases, staff training	(2005), 17 (2006), 110 (2007).
	network in Rwanda		provided in testing, management	Dysentry-11 (2005), none
			through a series of workshops. 2. NRL	(2006), 110 (2007) Measles
			is autonomous with diagnostic	188 (2005), 187 (2006), 132
			capacities for HIV, TB, Malaria,	(2007). Typhoid 42 (2006), 44
			influenza, H5N1. Decentralisation of	(2006), 132 (2007).
			administrative function of NRL to	Meningitis 20 (2005), 21
			expand capacity, management and use	(2006), 22 (2007). The
			of surveillance at all levels, GIS use,	number of VCT sites 285 in
			bacteriology labs set up in 5 district	2007. QC results showed
			hospitals. 3. Coordination and function	improved discordance rates
			of lab network. NRL equipped with	to 0.8% in 2008. The QC for
			PCR, flourance activated cell sorting,	TB slide examination-
			lymphocyte %age for infants. 4	increase from to 60 (2003) to

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			reference labs and NRL connected to	183 (2007) CDT sites
			34 district hospital labs and 385 health	participating in QC.
			centre labs at peripheral. Each with	
			defined SOPs. 126 health centres with	
			HIVRDT and expansion of PMTCT. 5.	
			Training- 467 biotechnologists on lab	
			detection of malaria, HIV rapid testing,	
			SLP and biosafety in 2005. 969 lab	
			personnel trained in integrated lab	
			training in Malaria, TB, HIV,	
			biochemistry and haematology (61	
			participants), CD4 counts (34	
			participants), dried blood spots (180),	
			HIV specific testing at new VCT sites	
			(223). 6 Supervision- 420 labs get	
			assessment every year some more	
			than once. 517 (2005), 862 (2006), 689	
			(2007). 7. External collaboration is	
			maintained with each partner by	
			allocating specific facilities to avoid	
			duplication. Establishment of TRAC	
			allows integrated clinical planning and	
			lab activities. National disease	
			programs integrated with external lab	
			ref systems such as Polio, measles	
			(WHO AFRO and UVRI), MDRTB with	
			IMTA Belgium for QC testing. QC	
			panels for epidemic bacteria, malaria,	
			TB microscopy, CD4 counts, ELISA and	
			western blot received for NIPH South	
			Africa.	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
33	Capacity building and	the intervention involved proficiency	1. Eight laboratories reported results	HIVDR genotyping was
	predictors of success for	testing in genotyping by distributing	of all nine panels. 2 Questionnaire was	associated with the panel
	HIV1 drug resistance	nine 5-sample TAQAS panels (45	completed by all but one lab	complexity and with lab
	testing in the Asia-Pacific	samples) to 19 labs in 11 Asian	demonstrating a wide variability in	performance factors such as
	Region and Africa	countries and 2 African countries for	genotyping experiences. The average	detection of mixtures and
		testing using their standard protocols.	length of labs conducting genotyping	agreement with TG but not
		Samples were sent biannually from NRL	testing was six years, 348 tests per	with differences in the lab
		Australia and results were returned to	year, sample turnaround time was 14	use of commercial vs in-
		NRL Australia. This was followed by a	days. 2. Majority of the labs (18/22)	house tests or sequencing
		detailed protocol questionnaire to	used locally assembled protcols.3.	protocols
		participating labs on testing methods.	fourteen 4. labs required bachelor's	
			degree qualifications or higher. 5. Only	
			6/22 outsourced sequencing. 6. Most	
			20/22 used an automatic base calling	
			software and all reported manual	
			checking and editing of automated	
			base calls. 7. The peak height to call	
			mixed bases was set at 20-30% by 19	
			labs. 8. Most labs (15/22) labs	
			reviewed sequenced data at sites	
			associated with ARV resistance. 9.	
			Fifteen used Stanford Database for	
			resistance interpretation in other three	
			used IAS-USA or ANRS along with	
			Stanford database. 10. A total of 144	
			data sets were returned by 23	
			participating labs, with 10 labs	
			returning results up to five weeks past	
			the turnaround time. 136 datasets	
			were suitable for assessment. The	
			median detection of DRMs in TGs in 7	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			plasma panels ranged between 88 to	
			98%. Three labs detected <80% initially	
			but subsequently improved.	
34	Evidence-based approach to the maintenance of laboratory and medical equipment in resource poor settings	1. between 2003-2008, approx 100 engineering students, biomed technicians and engineers (volunteers) gathered data on out of service medical equipment from 60 resource poor hospitals in 11 countries. The hospitals were of varied size, limited technical staff, and tech staff not qualified in BMET's in 11 countries. 2. It was followed by analysis of out of service equipment and repairs were attempted by volunteers using local spare parts	Total of 2849 engineering requests were analysed. Of those 2529 were medical equipment, 320 non medical equipment. 1821 were repaired and made in use (72%). 2. The type of devices included blood pressure devices (294), nebulisers (123), pulse oximeters (104), ECG (86), incubators (80), electro-surgery devices (77), infusion pumps (77), autoclaves (74), microscopes (65), centrifuges (63), X ray devices (57), ventilators (57). 3.	The results show that medical equipment repair do not require major import of spare parts to be returned to service upon repair. Lengthy post-secondary training for licences and engineering is not suitable for resource poor settings.
		(purchased or repaired), using basic repair tool kit and advice from expert engineers. Volunteers were not allowed to purchase or order parts from outside the country.3. Every piece was labelled repaired (only if returned for use) or not repaired (included repaired but still not used upon return). 4. Detailed reports were filled by volunteers on each equipment and reanalysed by second engineering student, and selected cases by experienced and licenced engineers.	The six domains of knowledge required from documentation included- electrical, mechanical, power supply, plumbing, motors and installations or user training. A further 26 units/concepts/skills were identified in 6 domains needed for diagnosing the problem and executing repair. Within 26 units 107 further skills were documented in more than one repair in a basic unit. 4. of total 1704 documented, repaired pieces 1132 (66%) were put back in service using one of the 107 skills identified and using local spare parts.	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
35	Impact of horizontal	1. Laboratory Quality Improvement	1. Baseline scores for MALScore were	
	approach in vertical	tools were developed to assess and	between 42 to 61% for all labs (all labs	
	program: continuous	monitor the quality of both malaria and	were unsatisfactory). Similarly AFB	
	quality improvement of	AFB microscopy total testing process.	Score was between 41 to 70%. (one	
	malaria and TB	The tools comprised of 100 closed	Health centre was satisfatory). 2	
	diagnostic services at	ended questions divided into 12	Monthly follow up, onsite training and	
	primary level medical	sections with containing general and	mentorship, documentation and	
	hospitals in the context	specific aspects.2. LQITs used in 5	quality assurance support provided	
	of HIV care and	Health Centres and one faith based	help with improving lab services.2. 20	
	treatment program in	hospital labs in Showa zone of Oromia	lab professionals received onsite	
	Ethiopia	region. 3 Data collected quarterly at	training to address the gaps seen ins	
		baseline at all 6 sites	LQIT assessment. 3 At the end of 6th	
			quarterly assessment the MalScore	
			was between 88-90% and AFBScore	
			between 88-95%. 4. The Human	
			resources issued showed constant	
			increases due to identification of focal	
			persons for malaria and AFB	
			microscopy and regular refresher	
			training. 5. Safety- MalScore was 100%	
			at baseline, AFBScore improved from	
			67 to 82% with a development of TB	
			infectious waste disposal protocol. 6.	
			Regular improvements seen in lab	
			process- slide prep, staining,	
			maintenance, microscope, reading	
			reporting of results. This was because	
			of implementing SOP during 3rd and	
			4th quarter and poster display for	
			WHO malaria staining process 7	
			Improvements in documentation of	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			quality procedures into routine	
			activities 8. Quality control section	
			scored the lowest at baseline but	
			showed improvement in quarter 4 due	
			to introduction in SOP for malaria and	
			AFB quantifications	
36	Implementation of	narrative	1. Needs assessment is carried out by	
	quality system approach		GAP team in the country at the	
	for laboratory practice in		invitation of the government including	
	resource-constrained		review of the proposed country plan. 2	
	countries		Seeking commitment from	
			governments for strengthening lab	
			program 's capability and capacity,	
			followed by assessment of current lab	
			practices at all levels to identify gaps	
			and enable priorities.3. Big meeting of	
			all laboratorians together to begin	
			establishment of a national system of	
			labs, national approach to QA, and	
			better communication, training needs.	
37	Working towards a	1.In 2011 national lab technical working	1. All eight labs completed three	
	sustainable laboratory	group (TWG) consisting of MoH	SLMTA workshops, 6 had complete exit	
	quality improvement	personnel, partners were established to	audit data, 2 had missing data or	
	programme through	build framework for National lab quality	excluded from analysis. Overall	
	country ownership:	improvement program.2. The TWG	improvement was seen in all 6 labs	
	Mozambique's SMLTA	developed SLMTA implementation plan	after 12 months of implementation-	
	story	which included training, mentorship,	three labs (1 star), one lab (2 star), one	
		supervision and audits; with dedicated	lab (3 star). 2 The greatest areas of	
		coordinator and SLIPTA focal person. 3.	improvement were client	
		Training toolkit was translated into	management, customer service,	
		Portuguese and locally relevant	corrective action, purchasing and	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		implementation strategies were developed and local Portuguese FOGELA was created for this program. 4. This was implemented in phases and hierarchical approach with top-tier labs (NRL and central hospital labs) first enrolled. Post-training, the trained personnel became resource person for training, mentoring and supervising others. 5. Training was also given to 15 auditors using WHO-AFRO Auditor training curriculum. 6. 2011- new auditors with experienced auditors carried out baseline audits for the eight enrolled labs based on SLIPTA checklist	inventory, and management reviews. The areas of least improvement were information management, equipment, facilities, safety, internal audit. 3. The National TB Ref Lab was best performing with 3 stars. 4. At the end of the program 3 labs officially enrolled into SLIPTA program for review by auditors from ASLM.	
38	Establishing PT scheme in developing countries: examples from Africa	1. In country PT schemes for food and water testing were organised and training of the personnel in SADC and EAC countries in Africa. 2. three samples for water PT schemes and two in food PT were distributed for same measurands. 3. Assessment was made using Z scores.	1. Number of participants from 18 African countries participating in PT scheme for microbiological analysis of water- 23 (2008), 9 (2009), 33 (2010), 40 (2011). 2. Number of participants from 20 African countries participating in PT scheme for chemical analysis of water- 39 (2006), 47 (2007), 45 (2008), 54 (2009), 58 (2010), 54 (2011). 3. The data showed chemical analysis of water being outside the acceptable range in three samples. T	The use of low cost methods for analysis of the measurands is one factor for lack of insufficient quality of the participants results and corrective actions taken after failing in PT rounds.
39	CLSI: building laboratory capacity in Africa	narrative	1. Two pronged approach is taken for capacity strengthening: LS strengthening and GHP. It supports individual countries and also national	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			lab systems through standard	
			development activities for its	
			members. 2. Initial site visit for	
			measuring existing capacity, is	
			followed by needs assessment for	
			identifying gaps and design a	
			customised training program for best	
			practices. 3. Implementation of	
			selected improvements done through	
			Mentor/Twinning program for 3	
			months where experts work with local	
			lab professionals to facilitate	
			improvement strategies and prepare	
			for accreditation, including self-	
			assessment tools. 4. Each year two in-	
			country lab leaders are given	
			sponsorship to attend annual CLSI	
			leadership conference.	
40	Public Health laboratory	25% of classroom instructions and 75%		
	systems development in	field assignments. The lab residents		
	East Africa through	take course on epidemiology, bio stats,		
	training in laboratory	research methods, scientific		
	management and field	communication, public health		
	epidemiology	surveillance, computers in public health,		
		lab methods in field, lab management		
		and leadership		
41	Measuring laboratory	1. PHLs, CDC and SMEs in influenza	The tool was tested by SMEs and	
	based influenza	collectively developed a tool to assist in	revised to add quantitative framework.	
	surveillance capacity:	assessing international lab capacities for	The validation of the quantitative	
	development of the	testing influenza specimen and quality	framework was done retrospectively.	
		control management. The tool		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
	international laboratory	represented essential lab functions and		
	capacity review tool	practices of WHO NICs. The tool was		
		organised in 9 categories containing		
		questions to evaluate lab practices,		
		identify strengths and develop		
		recommendations. 37 assessments		
		were done between 2009-11. 2. The		
		tool contains 271 questions that fall into		
		informational category or capacity		
		related (180), an equipment table and		
		training table. 2. 164/180 questions		
		were used for quantitative analysis. 164		
		questions divided into 8 categories for		
		analysis in capacity.		
42	The operation, quality	A survey was carried out in Ntcheu	1. Tests, workload and staffing- 31203	
	and costs of a district	district hospital to collect baseline data	tests were performed between 1997-	
	hospital laboratory	on the operation, quality and costs of	98 (malaria microscopy-21%, TB 23%,	
	service in Malawi	the current district laboratory services	Hb 13%, transfusion 26%). Average	
		in Malawi as a basis for the	technician worked for 23.8 hrs/week	
		development of essential laboratory	comprising 2479 hrs/year against the	
		package. Data was collected on tests,	required 3970 hrs for the work.2 All	
		workload and staffing levels; quantity	tests were carried out in the same	
		and type of consumables required,	room with poor ventilation and no	
		inventory of equipment, quality of tests	safety cabinets, no autoclave or	
		(cross testing at SSI Denmark and LSTM	appropriate disposal of waste, cleaning	
		UK) for TB microscopy and malaria	and washing procedures for the lab	
		microscopy, haemoglobin measurement	were inconsistent.3. Quality of tests:	
		and blood transfusion for grouping and	Except Hb testing, the concordance	
		compatibility tests; economic costings	between the test results and reference	
Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
--------	------------------------	--	--	--------------------------------
Number				Recommendations
		for resources used in the different tests,	result was over 90%, for Hb it was only	
		human resources costs.	37% (combined failure of all types of	
			transfusion). 4. The economic costs of	
			lab for one year of study was US\$	
			32618, TB microscopy US\$13547,	
			transfusion and Hb measurement US\$	
			11 207, malaria \$2708.	
43	Clinical laboratory	RESAOLAB was established with support	1. Training- a shared national strategic	RESAOLAB played key role
	networks contribute to	from AFD and Fondation Merieux. The	plan for continuous education of lab	during cholera outbreak in
	strengthening disease	three key areas of activity include	technicians was developed containing	Mali in 2011. Other countries
	surveillance. The	training laboratory personnel, setting	9 modules. Till 2013 64 sessions with	in region- Niger, Togo, Benin,
	RESAOLAB project in	QA, strengthening epidemiological	25 participants in each have been	Guinea have made requests
	west Africa	surveillance	conducted. Also available for self-	to join RESAOLAB>
			training via GLOBE. 2. Setting quality	
			assurance- shared national strategic	
			plan for lab quality management was	
			developed to define standards for	
			personnel organisation, lab	
			equipment, procedures, data	
			processing, hygiene and security. Also	
			identified 4 labs in each country for	
			EQA. Till 2013, 350 supervised EQA	
			conducted. 3. Strengthening the	
			epidemiological surveillance- open	
			source lab information and	
			management system was developed	
			for monitoring daily surveillance	
			activity. Training workshop on how to	
			use new tool was conducted in	
			collaboration with WHO-AFRO.	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
Number 44	Improved clinical and laboratory skills after team based, malaria case management training of health care professionals in Uganda	Integrated management of Malaria, 6 day course was organised at 8 sentinel sites by JUMP. It included didactic and practical sessions, and participants included clinicians, lab professionals, health info assistants. A baseline observation of clinical care and lab testing was done prior to training. 2. Three support supervision visits were conducted by JUMP team at approx 6 weeks, 12 weeks, one year post workshop to give feedback and perform onsite observation. 3. The evaluation involved the assessment of clinical skills and laboratory skills.	1. 118 clinicians were trained, 101 observed (61 at baseline and once after training).2. Performance of 5 key skills for patients presenting with fever improved between baseline and three follow up visits. 3. History taking for children < 5yrs and patient education for >5 yrs did not improve much in the one year follow up.4. Preparation of malaria smear improved significantly from baseline in each follow up visits. The sensitivity of interpreting smear results increased significantly (84%), specificity also increased (91%) (WHO standard was met for specificity (90%) but not for sensitivity). However, it was not possible to distinguish effects of JUMP from UMSP as they were	Recommendations
45	Laboratory capacity for diagnosis of foot and mouth disease in Eastern Africa: implications for the progressive control pathway	1. Cross sectional prospective survey was conducted to assess the lab capacity for diagnosis of FMD among the NRLs in 14 EARLN countries.2. Questionnaire was sent electronically to all labs. The areas of information sought- outbreaks and control strategies including response time, sampling, personnel, transportation issues, storage of samples, stage of PCP- FMD, control strategies, type and	 1. 13/14 countries responded.2. All but one (Djibouti) experienced one outbreak in last five years. Outbreaks were reported by Vet officers in three countries (Uganda, Sudan, South Sudan). 9/13 countries outbreak were reported by vets and farmers. Seven countries from twelve submitted samples inconsistently to WRLFMD. 2. Nine countries were below PCP-FMD stage 3, only one at stage 3. Only Kenya and Tanzania used pre and post- 	Limited lab capacity for FMD in terms of tests, equipment and skilled manpower

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		sources of vaccines, policies for FMD	outbreak vaccinations. Only Kenya and	
		control	Ethiopia had vaccination plants, and	
			rest imported from Botswana and	
			Kenya. 4. Majority 12/13 sampling was	
			done during acute phase of outbreak.	
			Except Puntland all countries	
			personnel were trained in FMD	
			sampling. Majority reported sample	
			collection between 100-1000. 5 All labs	
			were able to conduct FMD diagnosis.	
			The costs were US\$50 per sample in	
			most except Eritrea and Rwanda	
			where cost for diagnosis was US\$100	
			per sample. Three countries used virus	
			isolation (Eritrea, Kenya, Sudan), eight	
			immunological detection methods,	
			South Sudan also did antigen-ELISA	
			and 3 used PCR. 6. None of the labs	
			were accredited for FMD diagnosis but	
			all except Burundi had SOPs for	
			diagnosis. Only 4/13 participated in	
			annual PT. Most NRL worked at BSL-2	
			for biosafety except Kenya and	
			Ethiopia who worked at BSL-3. Five out	
			of 13 did not regularly service	
			equipment and only six calibrated	
			equipment annually. Except Kenya all	
			reported understaffing.	
46	A systematic approach	1. a three stage approach was taken to	Retrospective analysis of the tools was	
	to capacity	develop assessment and monitoring	done after initial implementation and	
	strengthening of	tools for NTDs- evidence from literature	tools were revised. The strengths and	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
	laboratory systems for control of neglected tropical diseases in Ghana, Kenya, Malawi and Sri Lanka	on lab strengthening at individual, organisation, national and international level and generating list of components necessary for optimal lab system for NTD and using this to design a questionnaire based tool for lab managers, a semi-structure interview guide, capacity gap checklist and a checklist of ISO15189 for onsite observations.2. The tools were implemented in labs of four countries of CNTD/LF programme. This included site/institution visit with two complementary members from LSTM visiting the institutions. 62 semi structured interviews were conducted (17 Malawi, 11 Ghana, 16 Kenya, 18 Sri Lanka) with stakeholders.	weaknesses in the four participating countries were analysed by the tools developed by LSTM. The categories included 1. people and management - Ghana (skills and abilities match lab requirements), Malawi (young and expanding team to support), Kenya (flexible lab scientist capacity), Sri Lanka (34 full time staff). 2. Research support- Ghana (research office to see all research activities), Malawi and Kenya (code of practice for research and institutional support for grant writing and funding, ethics committee). Sri Lanka (MoH ethics committee). 3. External interactions- Ghana (works with all stakeholders across all sectors locally/internationally), Malawi (offers of support from other labs), Kenya (availability of local expertise and support for NTD lab development), Sri Lanka (International Filariasis research group support). 4. Collaborations-all had strong links with other national institutions and policy makers. 5. All labs in four countries had the potential to provide support ot national and regional NTD control programs in diagnosis. vector analysis. Most	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			laboratories were seen as preferential	
			collaborators.	
47	Field Epidemiology and Laboratory training, 2008-2014	teaching and service activities. The competencies areas include epidemiology, public health surveillance, biostatistics and scientific	residents were trained with 58% being clinicians, 26% lab scientists, 16% vets. 595 health workers trained from short courses which included HIV program	address public health emergencies, and worked on the concept of one health bringing physicians,
		communications as key areas with other optional courses. 2. Training is provided in four clusters of 4-6 weeks. Followed by exams and dissertation. Combination of didactic and seminar based teaching. 3. The program has three tracks- medical epidemiology, veterinary epidemiology, lab epidemiology and management.	management, monitoring and evaluation, outbreak response and surveillance, vaccine preventable diseases, zoonoses, leadership and management, HIV/TB collaborations.	veterinarians, laboratorian together. Supported the scale up of ISDR capacity at federal and state level, residents help for analysing surveillance data and conducted basic research for program implementations.
48	Critical role of developing national strategic plans as a guide to strengthening laboratory health systems in resource poor settings	1.EHNRI established a division of national laboratory system to strengthen public health integrated lab system in2005. It also developed a national plan containing 14 strategic objectives that are supported by various institutions to implement. 2. AHPL- established lab quality system plan, EQA for HIV serology chemistry and haematology, lab info system with referral links and network of clinical labs with regional and national ref lab. 3. ASCP-involved in developing training curriculum in chemistry, haematology, CD4, preservice training curriculum for	325 health centres providing ART networked with 105 testing sites. More than 4k DNA PCR performed at NRL. Development of 6 regional ref labs. Training in TB, malaria and other opportunistic infections. TB microscopy and smear testing developed. Evaluation of NSLP conducted	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		medical lab schools, standardisation of		
		curriculum and help with setting NRL. 4.		
		CLSI-technical support for developing		
		and standardising lab operating		
		procedures, lab layout, developing		
		competency assessment tools for		
		evaluating effectiveness of different		
		training programs, preparing regional		
		and hospital labs for accreditation. 5.		
		SCMS-designing and implementing lab		
		logistics management systems. 6 CU-		
		ICAP- support 42 labs in AIDS		
		prevention, treatment and care.7. I-		
		TECH provides technical assistance to		
		32 hospital networks.8. CHAI helped to		
		develop national quantification tools for		
		lab commodities.		
49	Laboratory systems and	recommendations	The need for developing	
	services are critical in		comprehensive sustainable Lab	
	global health: time to		systems is described and elements of	
	end the neglect		lab health systems. These include-	
			framework for training, retaining and	
			career development; infrastructure	
			development, supply chain,	
			maintenance of lab equipment,	
			specimen referral systems,	
			QC/QA/QM, lab info system, biosafety	
			and waste management. This also	
			include establishing PPP. Establishing	
			field epidemiology and lab training	
			programs; building centres of	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			excellence; Implementing affordable	
			quality management and accreditation	
			systems.	
50	Country leadership and policy are critical factors for implementing laboratory accreditation in developing countries. A study on Uganda	A review of the National Health Policy 1, Health Sector Strategic Plan 2, National Health Lab Policy, Maputo Declaration on Lab services, Lab related technical reports of WHO. Followed by 20 key informant interviews- belonging to MoH, WHO country office, CDC office in Uganda, CPHL, AMREF	1.The National Lab Technical and Policy Committee has the aim to provide leadership and coordination of lab services in Uganda, to develop national lab service policies, review standards and develop lab info management system. 2. Health sector strategic plan 1 and 2 focus on national lab network development.3 Uganda has active EQA in place with three aspects- PT, onsite evaluation, retesting of specimen. Currently 3 PT schemes exist-NEQAS PT for HIV/malaria/TB/OI in 250 labs. PT scheme for CD4 testing. The second involves UKNEQAS which sends whole blood panels from UK for testing and results submitted online. Third is regional EQA focusing on primary health care labs in Uganda, kenya and tanzania. The second EQA- onsite evaluation is done from CPHL with LTC support. THE HIVRL and National TB Ref lab conduct retesting and rechecking as basis of EQA schemes.4. APHC registers private labs. 5. No National lab accreditation system yet	A step wise accreditation is recommended with focus on specific diseases initially. Accreditation useful for standardisation and quality of services, compliance with international standards. WHO recommended accreditation should be localised for Uganda and setting national accreditation guidelines and standards

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			Ebenezar lab) enrolled in South African	
			National Accreditation System leading	
			to ISO15189 eventually. The JCRC	
			enrolled for CAP accreditation.	
			However the costs for accreditation	
			too high to afford by most labs (\$50K	
			to 100K)	
51	Antimicrobial resistance:	1. Retrospective reviews of lab records (1. Seven were clinical labs and one	1. Inadequate capacity of
	capacity and practices	bacteriology records) on AST for stool	public health lab. 7/8 labs participating	bacterial culture and AST in
	among clinical	and blood cultures were carried out to	in WHO/AFRO stepwise lab	all labs. 2. Expired cultures,
	laboratories in Kenya,	determine AMR patterns, and key	improvement scheme. Only 1/8 had	samples and reagents were
	2013	informant semi structured interviews to	facility for Campylobacter, one had no	not regularly disposed.3. Lack
		assess the lab capacity to perform	records and only 3/8 performed blood	of approved SOPs
		culture and AST, practices and	cultures. No lab had service contracts	compromised reliability and
		utilisation of results by clinicians.2.	for equipment and only one reported	accuracy of the results. Lack
		Eight public medical labs (two level6-	validation report. 7/8 lab did not	of clear guidelines in the labs
		national referral, four level 5-sub	undergo any refresher training for	leading to large wasting of
		national, two level 4- district) were	microbiological techniques.7/8 labs	resources.
		selected. The data was collected	had additional biochemical tests. 4997	
		between Jan-Dec 2012	stool and 4258 blood samples were	
			reported. 2. AST PRACTICES-5/8 lab	
			had SOP for stool sample collection,	
			7/8 with culture processing SOPs, 5	
			with AST SOP. Five performed internal	
			QC on media and reagent and 3	
			participated in external EQA (not for	
			AST and culture). None had the	
			capability to isolate E.Coli, although 4	
			had reported organism obtained in	
			them. 3. AMR PATTERN- Ampicillin and	
			tetracycline resistance was shown in	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			three Shigella species isolates.	
			Sulfamethoxazole resistant was seen in	
			Salmonella, and also absolute	
			resistance by 4 Shigella species. MDR	
			was seen in E.Coli, Shigella and	
			Salmonella.4. INTERVIIEWS- eight	
			clinicians reported not utilising lab test	
			results for patient management, the	
			reason was- lack of antibiotics tested	
			at labs were not available in hospital,	
			delays in lab results, lack of feedback	
			from lab.	
52	Strengthening	The research explored three areas of	1. Laboratory systems- The capacity	
	Laboratory systems in	strengthening- lab systems,	and quality of labs rapidly dropped in	
	resource limited settings	coordination of lab efforts, adoption of	the lower levels. Lack of equipment,	
		quality standards. 1. Three data sources	staffing etc were common issues. In	
		were included- Grey literature,	country brain drain from govt to	
		interviews with major donors, site visits	private sector was mentioned.	
		to three countries. 2. Interviews were	Bureaucratic hurdles were issues with	
		conducted with 19 donor agencies and	donor agencies. Kenya and Ethiopia lab	
		site visits to Ethiopia, Kenya and	system strategic plans were consistent	
		Thailand. 3 During site visits, a total of	with guidance documents. Fragmented	
		15 lab were visited and over 60	responsibilities among different	
		interviews with host government	ministries for lab system development	
		personnel.	was key to lack of progress. 2.	
			Coordination-Challenges for host	
			systems to comply with multiple	
			funding agencies at the same time.	
			Donor agencies priorities revolve	
			around their own mission and vision	
			which can be challenging for host	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			nations. However, donor driven	
			agenda can be problematic for donors	
			also, in terms of need to obligate for	
			longer periods, need for clear exit	
			strategy, more focus on infrastructure	
			development and less on leadership.	
			Donor funded labs very advanced but	
			not integrated with public health labs	
			of the countries and hence lack direct	
			operational support from the govts. 3.	
			Adoption of quality systems- Countries	
			with central coordination committees	
			often driven by large programs such as	
			PEPFAR or Global Funds are more	
			successful in adopting standardised	
			equipment. But equipment donations,	
			small scale programs independent of	
			national health strategy are challenge	
			to standardisation as equipment	
			donation can lead to manufacture	
			monopoly, long term costs, reliance. 4.	
			Thailand has comprehensive PT and	
			national accreditation program (based	
			on ISO 15189) but Africa focuses	
			mostly on HIV testing. These rely on	
			external QA programs such as UK	
			(NEQAS), Canada (QASI) and Australian	
			National Serology Ref Lab.	
53	Use of web based	The study was conducted at University	1. OFI (one author) received training in	
	training for quality	of Chicago and IAMRAT using online	US on IHC, returned to Nigeria IAMRAT	
	improvement between a	Immunohistochemistry (IHC) training	to set up IHC lab and provide training	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
	field	sessions. After initial training (stage 1),	to others, and shipping necessary	
	immunohistochemistry	first performance evaluation (stage 2)	equipment for the lab from US.	
	laboratory in Nigeria and	was conducted followed by a review of	Training in Nigeria was 12 weeks	
	its US based partner	the process and then a session of online	course including seminars, academic	
	institution	training and discussion (stage 3), and	literature and hands on experience.	
		second performance evaluation (stage	Info on IHC service/lab was widely	
		4).	circulated in Nigeria. Samples of breast	
			cancer tissues were referred to	
			IAMRAT lab for IHC testing from	
			several hospitals and stained slides	
			were scored. Tissue microarray	
			samples were constructed in Chicago	
			with 232 tumour samples sent from	
			Nigeria and IHC testing was	
			performed.2. Results of the	
			immunostaining were scored semi	
			quantitatively by two pathologists at	
			two study centres. This was followed	
			by initial concordance analysis of	
			samples in Chicago and Nigeria	
			(comparison). 3. The process of	
			training and methods was reviews	
			after concordance analysis and web	
			based conference (skype) was	
			performed. Discrepancies in the	
			analysis were seen in staining protocol,	
			antigen retrieval procedures, scoring	
			methods. Following this a joint	
			evaluation of digital slides was	
			conducted addressing technical issues.	
			4. Second evaluation of	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			immunostaining was conducted and	
			assessed in Chicago. The concordance	
			between Nigeria slides and Chicago	
			slides was seen to have improved.	
54	Strategy for	The strategy is built on four	IFS grantees and resource persons	
	strengthening scientific	cornerstones 1providing means to	participate in training of the trainers	
	capacity in developing	researchers to attract funds to initiate	who later disseminate program. A	
	countries on water and	new project: through training, and	close contact with end-users is	
	sanitation related issues	support, review of proposals by 10	encouraged who are also participants	
		international experts, workshops on	in research (action research). New	
		revision of projects and follow up	researchers are targeted with focus on	
		guidance and support from local	gender balance, type of research	
		organisations. 2. Facilitations in	topics. Continuous monitoring and	
		generating high quality results: training	evaluation is conducted in different	
		in research methods, site visits to GLP	phases; from initiation of new projects,	
		labs, support in equipment	access to equipment, key focal points	
		procurement, mobilising networks. 3.	at local level.	
		Dissemination and implementation of		
		results: training in presentation		
		techniques (oral/poster), mentorship,		
		funds for publication costs, local		
		dissemination workshop support. 4.		
		Follow up on implementations:		
		workshops and follow up grants on		
		competitive terms.		
55	Improvement of	The infrastructure development was	Between 2007-2010 921 samples were	
	Tuberculosis Laboratory	done in four different phases. Phase 1-	sent to TB section of PHL-IdC from 14	A low cost intermediate lab
	capacity on Pemba	identification of suitable space,	peripheral labs in Pemba and since July	set up within a short space of
	island, Zanzibar: a health	checking of useful material, designing	2009 26 peripheral labs in Unguja	time. However, need to
	cooperation project	lay based on WHO standards, testing of	island. 121 pulmonary TB cases were	maintain supply of reagents,
		biosafety level 2 cabinet with	diagnosed. From 115 smear positive	focus on transportation of

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		centrifuge, micro centrifuge, incubator.	cases, 84 were culture positive, and by	samples, are important for
		Phase 2- Lab equipped with light	2010 the smear positive to culture	optimal services.
		microscope, incubator, combined fridge	positive rates reached 100%.	
		and orbital shaker. Reagents and		
		disposables for smear microscopy.		
		Phase 3-Training of lab personnel in		
		smear microscopy and solid culture on		
		LJ media. Phase 4 HR capacity building		
		reinforcement by teaching training,		
		monitoring and mentoring by internet.		
		The diagnostic methods included smear		
		preparation using ZN methods. The		
		Internal quality assurance system was		
		established but no EQA.		
56	Improvement of	The infrastructure development was	Between 2007-2010 921 samples were	A low cost intermediate lab
	Tuberculosis Laboratory	done in four different phases. Phase 1-	sent to TB section of PHL-IdC from 14	set up within a short space of
	capacity on Pemba	identification of suitable space,	peripheral labs in Pemba and since July	time. However, need to
	island, Zanzibar: a health	checking of useful material, designing	2009 26 peripheral labs in Unguja	maintain supply of reagents,
	cooperation project	lay based on WHO standards, testing of	island. 121 pulmonary TB cases were	focus on transportation of
		biosafety level 2 cabinet with	diagnosed. From 115 smear positive	samples, are important for
		centrifuge, micro centrifuge, incubator.	cases, 84 were culture positive, and by	optimal services.
		Phase 2- Lab equipped with light	2010 the smear positive to culture	
		microscope, incubator, combined fridge	positive rates reached 100%.	
		and orbital shaker. Reagents and		
		disposables for smear microscopy.		
		Phase 3-Training of lab personnel in		
		smear microscopy and solid culture on		
		LJ media. Phase 4 HR capacity building		
		reinforcement by teaching training,		
		monitoring and mentoring by internet.		
		The diagnostic methods included smear		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		preparation using ZN methods. The		
		Internal quality assurance system was		
		established but no EQA.		
57	Experience establishing	FIND team conducted a needs	1. The TB diagnostic capacity increased	A high profile project
	tuberculosis laboratory	assessment of NTRL and developed a	from less than 100 to more than 700	attracted lot of attention and
	capacity in developing	multi-phase work plan for upgrade.	culture per month by June 2008. 2. The	requests for training from
	country context	Phase 1- developing training modules	validation of liquid culture method in	other African countries,
		and manuals followed by upgrading	Dec 2008 revealed the contamination	which could be stressful for
		training in sputum microscopy and	rates 1.9% for solid and 7.8% for liquid	the staff, and add to the
		refresher training in smear microscopy.	cultures. There was 14% increase in	workload who could miss out
		QA program was established based on	the sensitivity of liquid culture	on different training
		online evaluation, supervision and blind	compared to solid culture by	opportunities.
		checking, LQAS sampling was put in	immunochromatographic assay.	
		place for EQA of smear microscopy	Between Jan'08 and Mar'09, 8569	
		across all health centres. Regular Panel	specimen were cultured including the	
		testing carried out from samples	use of LJ and MGIT with an overall	
		obtained SNRL South Africa for EQA.	contamination rate of 10.8%, with 87%	
		Phase 2- NTRL renovated with BSL3	culture positive.2 After validation and	
		facility to meet WHO standards for	retraining LPA has started to be	
		handling liquid TB culture, TB solid	routinely used. 3Microscopic	
		culture and DST implemented with EQA	examination for smears increased from	
		provided by SNRL in South Africa. TB	900 to 85471 per month at 14	
		liquid culture, DST, rapid immunoassay	different microscopic centres and	
		based species identification, LJ media	NTRL. Of these 33473 slides/14372	
		for isolation from solid culture, BACTEC-	patients were examined at NTRL.	
		MGIT 960 TB system for liquid culture		
		were introduced. Phase 3-activities to		
		prepare for introduction of the LPA for		
		detection of MDRTB began with		
		construction of a clean room facility,		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		followed by introduction of the assya		
		and training of the lab staff.		
58	Capacity building in	1. GoL established a coordination entity	1. till 2011 294 influenza samples and	
	response to pandemic	in 2006- National Avian and Human	viral isolates submitted to WHO GISRS.	
	influenza threats: Lao	Influenza Coordinating office (NAHICO)	EQAP competence ratings 90-100% for	
	PDR case study	directly under PM office in 2006, which	PCR, 80-100% for rPCR. Single	
		was later expanded in 2009 as National	molecular sequencing platform for	
		Emerging Infectious Disease	both human and animal health	
		Coordinating Office (NEIDCO) in May	laboratories (one health approach). 2.	
		2009. 2. NCLE held forum/meeting with	EWARN expanded from 33 to 144	
		WHO and USCDC to develop a road map	districts in all 17 provinces. 3.Rapid	
		for NIC designation. USCDC and Pasteur	recognition and response to outbreak	
		provided training, oversight and helped	due to timely verification and follow	
		to set QA standards to develop new	up of cases to identify human clusters	
		strategies at NCLE for public health	through training of the trainer	
		laboratory detection process. This	approaches and decentralisation of	
		included starting up PCR testing and	reporting mechanisms. 3. Rapid	
		training to local lab personnel	recognition in outbreak and response	
		(coinciding with H5N1 outbreak),	time taken, decentralisation of	
		participating in WHO EQA and with this	outbreak reporting. 23 FET trained	
		contributing to WHOGISRS and WHO	personnel to conduct outbreak	
		FLUNET. 2. Establishment of virological	investigations, pandemic containment,	
		sentinel surveillance network to	mitigation, adverse effects of	
		combine respiratory illness with	immunisations, expansion of SARI and	
		pandemic and seasonal influenza	ILI surveillance. Expansion of the	
		(EWARN). 3. Field Epidemiology Training	network to include other epidemics	
		(FET) initiative to develop technical	and outbreaks, for example Japanese	
		cadre of public health professions	encephalitis, human anthrax, dengue,	
		networked throughout the country.4	cholera etc.	
		Use of Real-time PCR to improve testing		
		capacity.		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
59	Medical laboratory	narrative	1. MoH responsible for running all 190	
	quality and accreditation		labs, distributed at peripheral,	
	in Jordan		intermediate and central levels. Also	
			Military medical services run 8,	
			university hospitals 2, UNRWA 24,	
			Charity based 15, Private sector 351.	
			Licencing of the labs is mandatory by	
			law, MoH has set up standards for	
			quality control and assurance and by	
			law all labs need internal QA and	
			participate in EQA if existing. Focus on	
			QC in training programs and last 10	
			years National External Quality	
			Assessment Schemes were	
			implemented in bacteriology, virology,	
			parasitology and clinical chemistry. 2	
			Accreditation- new concept in Jordan	
			and there are no regulations at present	
			for accreditation. Few labs have	
			ISO9001:2000 and USO 15189:2007.	
			Jordan Institute of Standards and	
			Metrology (JISM) has specialised unit	
			in accreditation (JAS) which is	
			developing. Healthcare Accreditation	
			subcommittee is constituted and	
			tasked with planning of Jordan Health	
			care Accreditation and Certification	
			Commission (JHACC) which is	
			responsible for accreditation and	
			certification, and developed first draft	
			of accreditation for hospitals. This	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			draft implemented in 17 hospitals- 8	
			public, 5 private, 2 military, 2	
			university.	
60	Role of Laboratories and	narrative	Need for drug susceptibility testing is	
	Laboratory systems in		emphasised in light of resistance. EQA	
	effective tuberculosis		programmes should focus on how	
	programmes		smears are performed and interpreted.	
			Given that LMICs do not have basic	
			capacity for drug resistance	
			surveillance (DRS) or MDRTB,	
			appropriate use of current limited	
			culture capacity should be encouraged.	
			Use of NAAT for rifampin resistance is	
			recommended, however with	
			achieving robustness of the results.	
			'On the job' training for AFB	
			microscopy and HIV rapid testing is	
			encouraged for improving lab	
			personnel capacity. TB cases reporting	
			should be made mandatory and	
			national TB programs and NRLs should	
			ensure EQA for private labs. An	
			integrated NRL is preferred than stand	
			alone ref lab specific for TB.	
			Microscopy labs in LMICs can invest in	
			low cost fanboxes, relatively	
			inexpensive than expensive biosafety	
			cabinets. If suitably installed these	
			provide similar level of protection.	
			EQAs are expensive, an effective way	
			of supranational EQA is through	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			mentorship of NRLs and exchange of	
			strains between them to measure	
			performances. Research is encouraged	
			to be performed in the field labs in	
			LMICs than established academic	
			institutions, and helps to improve the	
			capacity of operational field research.	
61	Certification of TB	narrative	A formal application is made to the	
	culture and drug		CTD for accreditation for C&DST (stds	
	susceptibility testing		based on ISO15189), which after	
	laboratories through the		scrutinising forwards to NRL for further	
	revised National TB		processing. The steps for accreditation	
	control programme		involve- a pre-assessment visit by team	
	(RNTCP)		of NRL for reviewing infrastructure	
			facilities, C&DST equipment, qualified	
			and trained personnel, SOP, technical	
			procedures, workload capacity,	
			biosafety and infection control	
			measures. Based on initial assessment,	
			customised recommendations are	
			made. 2. Once labs comply with	
			recommendations, labs are assessed	
			for performance based on first 100	
			patient samples for culture and DST for	
			contamination and proficiency for	
			setting up interpretable DST tests. 3.	
			NRLs provide external blinded	
			proficiency testing for 20 panels for	
			susceptibility testing for anti-TB drugs	
			for assessment of accuracy in	
			sensitivity, specificity, positive and	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			negative predictive value and	
			certification is if >90% results are	
			achieved. The overall time taken for	
			the process is 6-7 months. The	
			program is encouraging other labs,	
			such as ICMR labs, medical colleges	
			labs, private labs for C&DST	
			accreditation.	
62	Capacity building efforts	The AFHSC- GEIS sponsored activities	1 Capacity building initiatives by	
	by the AFHSC-GEIS	involved renovation existing labs,	geographic regions. South East Asia	
	program	furnishing new scientific equipment,	(Bhutan, Cambodia, Lao, Nepal,	
		provision of new or enhanced	Singapore, Thailand)- NIC and Military	
		diagnostic testing equipment, at	influenza lab equipment, reagent and	
		overseas DoD facilities and US based	training, EID lab diagnostics and	
		influenza centres, which served as	disease surveillance system. Far East	
		regional reference labs and host	(Japan, Korea, Philippines)-NIC and	
		country labs. Over 80 MoHs, Agriculture	Military influenza lab equipment,	
		and defence and other institutions in 74	reagent and training, EID lab	
		countries were involved, including 52	proficiency and equipment. East and	
		National Influenza Centres, EID ref labs	Central Africa (Cameroon, Kenya,	
		were supported in this program. Focus	Tanzania, Uganda)- NIC & VHF lab	
		was on human health entities. Also	equipment, reagent training and	
		involved development of two new BSL-3	support, EID lab diagnostics. West	
		labs in Thailand (AFRIMS and NHRC)	Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cote	
		providing WHO and South East Asia	D'Ivoire, Ghana, Liberia, Mali, Niger,	
		regional support in research and assist	Sierra Leone, Togo)- NIC & MoH	
		with outbreaks. Two BSL-2 labs were	influenza lab equipment, reagent and	
		established in Cameroon to target	training support, VHF lab diagnostics	
		Africa. 2. To support Influenza	and military EID lab diagnostic testing	
		surveillance AFRIMS established	capacity. North Africa, Middle East and	
		viral/bacterial pathogen culture and	South West Asia (Afghanistan, Egypt,	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		molecular diagnostic capacity in Nepal	Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Oman, Pakistan,	
		equipped with rPCR for diagnosis.	Syria, Sudan)- NIC lab equipment,	
		NAMRU-3 also established influenza	reagent and training support. Central	
		Centres in Afghanistan, Iraq, Jordan and	Asia (Azerbaijan, Georgia, Mongolia)-	
		NMRCD in Columbia, Ecuador,	EID and influenza lan equipment,	
		Paraguay, Venezuela, and US-Army	reagent and training support. Europe	
		Medical Research Unit in Kenya.	(Poland, Romania)- Military and	
		USPHCR South supported El Salvador,	academic influenza lab equipment,	
		Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and	reagent and training support. Central	
		Panama. 3. Training- in 2009 AFHSC-	and South America (Colombia,	
		GEIS supported 18 organisations to	Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala,	
		conduct 123 training initiatives in 40	Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama,	
		countries with 3130 people trained to	Paraguay, Peru)- NIC&MOH influenza	
		assist work compliant with IHR	lab equipment, reagent and training	
		regulations.	support, leishmania military reference	
			lab equipment, reagent and training	
			support.	
63	Capacity building for	Based on WHO's one world one health	The MZCP focuses on building robust	
	zoonotic and foodborne	concept, Mediterranean Zoonosis	public health and animal health system	
	diseases in the	Control Program (MZCP) is proposed,	compliant with IHR and OIE standards.	
	Mediterranean and	based on multi-disciplinary and multi	Activities include- mized training	
	Middle East Regions (an	sectoral collaboration and coordination	groups of physicians, veterinarians,	
	intersectoral	as a core tool for preparedness to	biologists, health and food inspectors,	
	WHO/MZCP proposed	address global impact of endemic	lab staff and other personnel. 2.	
	strategy)	zoonotic and food borne diseases with	Intercountry and national training	
		particular emphasis on emerging and	courses on epidemiological	
		re-emerging conditions. 2. It involves	surveillance of zoonoses and food	
		knowledge sharing, promoting	borne diseases; food safety and HACCP	
		technologies, horizontal	systems and food security;	
		communication, public health training	environment and public health;	
			seminars on intersectoral collaboration	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		program and motivating community	and coordination in zoonotic and	
		participation	foodborne diseases and other relevant	
			areas of interest.	
64	Scale up of MDRTB	Between 1996-2000, PARTNERS	1. Between 1996-2006 the number of	Responding in time and
	laboratory services, Peru	consortium was established with help of	DST performed and mycobacterial	stepwise overlapping efforts
		US\$ 45 million from Gates Foundation	cultures doubled.2. The monitoring	to prevent delays- stepwise
		to achieve national coverage of MDRTB	phase showed that health personnel	decentralisation and
		and replicate it at other places.2.	often failed to adhere to NTP norms	dedication to human
		Decentralisation of Rapid DST in 7	for DST. Approx 50% of the DSTs in	resources. Coordination of
		regional labs in order to obtain timely	2005 were for patients without an	NRL and NTBP with stable
		results. 3. Use of first line DST in	Indication for DST, 28% of those were	political leadership. Within
		fer high rick patients. Drien to that an	there was an increases in demand for	DUTS model smear
		for high risk patients. Prior to that an	DST because of awareness of MDBTP	at health control with local
		district bespitals for officiency, biosofety	DST because of awareness of MDRTB	at nearth centres with local
		facilities, peods of personnel training for	and benefit of rapid real-time testing.	coordination with TB
		the possibility of decentralisation 4		research is important for
		The preparation phase-mobilising		understanding research and
		nolitical commitment infrastructure		program conditions
		development workforce development		
		through Biosafety cabinet (BSC) training		
		and certification. This involved inviting		
		applications to become regional labs for		
		DST and supporting two for renovations		
		to see challenges in the process. In		
		parallel, Training and validation for each		
		DST method. 5. Implementation phase-		
		DST incorporated into program services.		
		Monthly review of aggregate data for		
		contamination rates, culture growth,		
		drug resistance with supervisory visits		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		from INS staff to regional labs, and also		
		to provide training. 5. Monitoring		
		phase- long term evaluation of		
		reinforcement of NTP norms,		
		appropriate use of DSTs and culture		
		data, DST indicators and optimal DST		
		methods should respond to changes in		
		regional epidemiology as well as		
		availability of resources.		
65	ASM LabCap's	LabCap contributes to several	1. ASM-PEPFAR: in Botswana, Cote	Enables indegenious lab to
	contributions to disease	programs. 1. LabCap- PEPFAR initiative-	d'Ivoire, Ethiopia, Guyana, Haiti,	more rapidly and effectively
	surveillance and	capacity building of global HIV and	Kenya, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria,	identify and respond to broad
	International health	clinical microbiology laboratories in	Rwanda, Tanzania, Vietnam, Zambia,	range of diseases,
	regulations (2005)	resource constrained countries. This	DRC, Central Asian Republics, Ukraine.	transferring QA skills
		also includes diagnostic capacity	2 LabCap-CDC training: Smear	
		strengthening in HIV/AIDS related OIs,	microscopy EQA Tanzania	
		TB through technical assistance and	(participation from other English	
		mentoring onsite, needs assessment,	speaking countries in Africa), Senegal	
		development of QA/QC. SOPs and	(other French speaking countries)l; DST	
		establishment of NRLs/NPHLs, referral	in Cote d'Ivoire; microbiology	
		networks, surveillance and outbreak	workshops in Botswana, Kenya,	
		response, optimisation of lab policies,	Mozambique, Tanzania, Zambia;	
		assisting in accreditation and	national workshop on enteric disease	
		certification. 2. ASMLabCap- CDC	surveillance and response in Kenya. 3.	
		training: two international courses on	IEIP initiatives: China (PCR and non-	
		AFB smear microscopy EQA, MtB	PCR based evaluation and write SOPs),	
		culture, DST, microbiology workshops. 3	Guatemala (review blood culture	
		IEIP initiatives: technical expertise and	processing and give recommendations;	
		consultation in lab capacity building for	including using susceptibility testing	
		clinical microbiology for respiratory	via disk diffusion), Thailand (
		condition and implementing active	evaluation of sample collection	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		surveillance for pneumonia. 4. ASM- PATH India: strengthening Intermediate Ref Lab (IRL) network to perform Mtb culture and DST and obtain national accreditation. 5. TB IQC with PATH USAID: providing extensive support to USAID operating units in the implementation of their TB programs through introduction and expansion of components of WHO recommended STOP TB strategy.	procedures, transport, processing and identification). 4. ASM-PATH India: evaluation of 8 states using IRL assessment tool, guidelines for preventative measures and biosafety manuals, recommendations for workshop. 5. IQC partnership: partnership through consortium of FIND, Partners in Health, MSH, UCSF, Brigham and Women's hospital to expand WHO STOP TB strategy.	
66	The WHO/PEPFAR collaboration to prepare an Operations Manual for HIV prevention, Care and Treatment at Primary health Centres in High prevalence, resource constrained settings	narrative	The operations manual describes principles, planning for integrated HIV services at PHC, services linkages integration triage, infrastructure, monitoring patients and programs, supply management, lab services, human resources, leadership and management, quality improvement. The tests needed by PHC include: rapid HIV antibody test with counselling, Rapid Syphilis test, malaria test, for infant diagnosis DBS and send out for virologic testing, Hb and haematocrit determination, urine dipstick for sugar and protein, rapid pregnancy test, malaria smear testing, TB smear microscopy, blood sample collection for CD4 and full blood count. At district	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			level, additional tests such as AFB smear microscopy, Syphilis RPR, gram stains etc should be available. The supplies include: log books for HIV, STI, syphilis, DBS. TB sputum smear microscopy request form, TB registry book, Infant PCR lab requisition form with program monitoring data, CD4 request form, pregnancy test worksheet.	
67	POPs analysis reveals issues in bringing laboratories in developing countries to a higher quality level	1. Initial needs assessment (questionnaire and interviews) was carried out in 18 labs on infrastructure, equipment, consumables, staff etc. Following which customised on site training was organised for each 18 labs for two weeks on POP analysis, QA/QC procedures and hands on lab training. 2 After training performance of all labs were assessed by inter-laboratory study on dioxins (di), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), non-di (ndl) PCBs, organochlorine pesticides. In addition labs also provided samples they analysed to the expert lab (mirror analysis). 3 The results of this performance were evaluated in a series of workshops organised in different regions with focus on transfer of knowledge and discussion on challenges and successes.	1. Lab infrastructure and environment- Lack of appropriate infrastructure (roads/lab windows/ appropriate lab temp) in Africa is detrimental for trace analysis with loss of compounds with low boiling point and mass spectrometry. Fume hood capacity limited exposing technicians to chemicals and occupational health risk. Records of consumables, reagents not maintained. 2. Procurement of lab consumables and instrument maintenance- lack of consumables and lengthy ordering procedures leading to delays or stopping analysis. Use of alternatives and creativity to maintain lab at minimum level was seen (replacing rotary evaporator with removing Soxhlet cooler but maintaining warming mantle for example). 3. Training and building up	Need for more inter- laboratory assessments of ionic PFAS in fish, food, water, sediment, human milk,

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
			expertise and routine- lab expertise	
			varied between different regions. Asia	
			and South America the expertise were	
			higher than Africa with knowledge on	
			lab management and POP analysis. All	
			labs received two weeks training on	
			POP analysis, hands on onsite training	
			in the labs but this was not sufficient	
			to come to required standards, for	
			which 6 months are needed for PCB	
			and OCP analysis. Increasing frequency	
			of POP analysis would help in training.	
			4. QC/QA- quality control and	
			assurance particular bad in South	
			America and Africa and most labs were	
			not accredited to ISO standards,	
			performance criteria for methods and	
			validation of studies were not set.	
68	Laboratory capacity	1. One SEAICRN lab was established at	1. Thailand- 5 labs, Vietnam 5 lab,	
	building in Asia for	Mahidol University, Thailand and	Singapore 1, Indonesia 4 labs were	
	infectious diseases	reference labs for different aspects of	established. 2. All labs also use MDL	
	research: experiences	research in the countries in order to	for other activities such as HIV,	
	from the South East Asia	carry out influenza and other infectious	Hepatitis, Meningitis, dengue,	
	Infectious Disease	diseases related 32 RCT in these	encephalitis.3. Training courses: PhD (6	
	Clinical Research	countries at international standards	scientists enrolled), Masters (9) and	
	Network (SEAICRN)	levels using RT-PCR. All 15 labs in 4	295 short term fellowships provided.	
		countries were developed to MDL level.		
		2. BSL-3 facility was established in		
		Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Vietnam		
		for isolation of H5N1 viruses and		
		emerging pandemic influenza viruses,		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		along with pyrosequencing facility to		
		detect mutations and drug resistance.3.		
		Onsite training was provided at all labs		
		for real-time RT-PCR, molecular		
		diagnostics and contamination		
		prevention.4 All labs were enrolled in		
		two different EQA programs and PT was		
		performed for all sites before patient		
		screening was allowed.5 Staff was given		
		specific training for conducting RCTs		
		and a centralised specimen labelling		
		and database system was established		
		for all SEAICRN trials.5. Clinical		
		Laboratory quality improvement		
		program was also initiated, involving		
		assessment of each hospital clinical lab		
		against international standards,		
		equipment maintenance and		
		calibration, enrolment in EQA,		
		assessment of training needs, review of		
		ref values used, accreditation status.		
		follow up was done through training,		
		recommendations, developing SOPs,		
		and document control systems,		
		appointment of Quality officer in all		
		hospitals.		
69	The role of standards	WHO-CDC-CLSI training toolkit has been		
	and training in preparing	developed to support trainers which		
	for accreditation	can be localised and customised for		
		national and local needs. For example:		
		five major zonal labs in Tanzania have		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		been supported to develop quality		
		management systems. Done through		
		onsite mentoring and series of		
		workshops. Similar efforts in Ethiopia,		
		Cote d'Ivoire		
70	Improving quality	1. An assessment checklist was	The goal of pilot testing was to assess	
	management systems of	designed to quantitatively define the	the efficacy of SLMTA program,	
	laboratories in	situation in the lab in terms of	specifically task based approach and	
	developing countries	observable measurable results. It can be	multi workshop delivery model,	
		used for supervisory visits, planning and	capturing lessons learnt, refining	
		evaluating lab improvement projects,	curriculum. Sample improvements	
		and assessing training and effectiveness	were seen in Kawolo hospital Mukano	
		of SLMTA. It was subsequently adopted	in terms of organising store room,	
		as WHO-AFRO checklist for lab	Nkozi hospital Mpigi with regards to	
		accreditation. This checklist was field	improving data collection, STI clinic	
		tested in Ethiopia and Uganda by	Mulago in terms of implementing duty	
		interviewing 22 lab managers from all	roster.	
		the four levels (national,		
		regional/provincial/district/community).		
		The 10 modules in the toolkit for		
		assessment resemble the key areas of		
		SLMTA framework. The toolkit contains		
		keys areas of work, desired outcomes		
		and tasks that managers need to		
		perform. 3. The pilot included series of		
		3 workshops conducted by CDC ASCP		
		facilitators with 3-4 months gap.		
71	The SLMTA programme:	1. SLMTA curriculum covers 10 key	Some examples from SLMTA include 1.	
	transforming the	competencies of a lab manager-	Cameroon- used facility based	
	laboratory landscape in	productivity, work area, inventory,	decentralised model for training	
	developing countries	procurement, equipment maintenance,	instead of one centralised program	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				
		QA, specimens, lab testing, test results	due to lack of resources. Lesotho- the	
		reporting, documents and record	schedule and frequency of training	
		control. Total of 66 tasks define	adapted to match existing mentorship	
		effective lab management and	timetables. Mozambique- SLMTA	
		constitute objectives of SLMTA	integrated in existing structure of MoH	
		curriculum.2. SLMTA runs between 12-	lab system. Rwanda- adoption of data	
		18 months involving a series of 3-4 day	driven advocacy by tracking number of	
		workshops utilising 44 instructional	tests not performed, funds required,	
		activities and more than 100 job aids.	and prospective revenue that can be	
		Each activity is hands on, practice based	generated. Cameroon-after initial	
		learning experience for specific	SLMTA one hospital devised its won	
		management tasks.3. Post training two	quality improvement teams for other	
		types of improvement projects are	units in the hospital. Zimbabwe-	
		implemented-complicated projects	extensive resource challenges were	
		requiring data collection before and	met by manually writing and paper	
		after implementation and simpler 'just	based system where computers were	
		do it' type that can be implemented	not available. 2. SLMTA adopted	
		straightaway. This is supported by	training of the trainers approach was	
		periodic supervisory visits or on-site	scaling up. A teach back of assigned	
		mentoring guided by standardised	activities is conducted for receiving	
		tools.4. This is followed by formal lab	constructive feedbacks. 3. For SLMTA	
		evaluation component for accreditation	to run- a national lab policy and plan, a	
		under WHO-AFRO SLIPTA programme	technical working group is pre-	
		which is 5 stage preparedness scheme	requisite, equally crucial is appropriate	
		that recognises labs according to their	site selection with advise on small start	
		compliance with ISO 15189 standard. 5.	and then scaling up. SLMTA requires	
		SLMTA can be organised and adapted to	three types of cadres- trainers to teach	
		local environments	curriculum, auditors to perform	
			internal audit, and mentors to	
			facilitate projects. 4. Globally- outside	
			Africa 24 more countries from	

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				
			Caribbean, Central and South America,	
			and South East Asia have adopted	
			SLMTA.	
72	Field experience in	The journey towards accreditation	1. Challenges in achieving ISO 15189:	1. Creation of reliable and
	implementing ISO 15189	involved 1. The lab conducted	expensive and labour intensive, lack of	competent workforce,
	in Kimisu, Kenya	consultation (outsource) with Contract	trained personnel in QMS for GCLP,	greater internal control and
		Lab Services who identified ISO 15189	lack of professional in country trainers,	good tracking system, reliable
		as appropriate international	equipment procurement from abroad,	infrastructure for tracing
		accreditation. It conducted gap analysis	implementing safety standards. 2. Post	errors and complaints. 2.
		in QMS and advised on implementing	achievement challenges- staff	Timely identification of
		ISO standards. Lab constituted	retention and move to other labs,,	weaknesses and rapid
		independent Quality System Unit (QSU)	maintaining reliable supply of	resolution leading to
		to evaluate areas of improvement	commodities at manageable costs,	reductions in operation costs
		based on Contract Lab Services	increased workload and client	and time savings.3. Accurate,
		assessment. QSU developed various	demands,, continuous nurturing of	reliable, quality and timely
		documents and systems- lab quality	'culture of quality'. 3. Essential	service delivery, reduction in
		manual, quality policies, SOPs, staff	elements of managing accredited lab	sample rejection
		competency assessment guidelines,	involve- well organised lab	
		complaint/incidence reporting systems,	management system, strengthening of	
		quality indicator systems, internal QA	QSU which improved QA standards,	
		auditing system, documents and	establishing a lab technical advisory	
		records control system. 2. Enrolment	committee, establishing and	
		for EQA with CAP, Virology Quality	monitoring lab quality indicators based	
		Assurance Program, UKNQAS, Humane	on 7 areas of assessment (Quality	
		Quality Assurance Services. 3.	management, resource utilisation and	
		Infrastructure and information systems	financial performance, process	
		were developed such as automated	efficiency and effectiveness, risk	
		temperature monitoring and	management and safety, client	
		streamlining sample reception,	satisfaction, personnel performance	
		repository and tracking. 4. Initial	and satisfaction, data management),	
		Assessment done by US PPD prior to ISO		

Study	Study Title	Description of Study	Results	Implications/Impact/
Number				Recommendations
		assessment by SANAS to address the	promoting continuous quality	
		existing gaps. Followed by ISO 15189	improvement.	
		accreditation.		

Annex 4: Quality system elements of ISO15189 accreditation

List taken from Young (2010)(75)

- 1. Organisation and management
- 2. Quality management system
- 3. Document control
- 4. Review of contracts
- 5. Examination by referral laboratories
- 6. External services and supplies
- 7. Advisory services
- 8. Resolution of complaints
- 9. Identification and control of non-conformities
- 10. Corrective action
- 11. Preventive action
- 12. Continual Improvement
- 13. Quality and technical records
- 14. Internal Audits
- 15. Management review
- 16. Personnel
- 17. Accommodation and environmental conditions
- 18. Laboratory equipment
- 19. Pre-examination (pre-analytical) procedures
- 20. Examination (analytical) procedures
- 21. Assuring quality of examination procedures
- 22. Post-examination (post-analytical) procedures
- 23. Reporting of results

Annex 5: Stepwise Laboratory Improvement Process Towards Accreditation

The primary focus of the SLIPTA is to improve laboratory Quality Management Systems (QMS) to prepare laboratories for accreditation to ISO15189. This is the international quality management standard specific to medical laboratories used in most high-income countries, including the National Health Service. The ISO 15189 standard is designed to ensure the accuracy and suitability of results produced by the laboratory. Though initially focused on TB and HIV the SLIPTA tool is generalizable and could be modified to address AMR laboratory surveillance capacity.

Description of tool Engagement of stakeholders

The WHO regional office initially coordinates the establishment of Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) with Ministries of Health and facilitates the establishment of regional Independent Evaluation Groups (IEGs). The IEG is the primary vehicle of engagement with governments. MoHs can only be supported through the SLIPTA process if they apply which demonstrates some degree of buy in to the process from the MoH. It is down to the MoH to select the laboratories for enrolment in SLIPTA.

Laboratory audit

Once enrolled a team of auditors will be sent to audit the countries selected laboratories within a year. The laboratories are audited using the following criteria:

- 1. Laboratory test results;
- 2. Number of tests annually: defined as total annual volume of tests performed by laboratory;
- 3. Internal quality control procedures implemented for all testing methods used;
- 4. Two most recent proficiency test results for each test performed;
- 5. WHO SLIPTA Checklist for the African Region.

The SLIPTA checklist audits the laboratory using the twelve laboratory quality system elements (QSE) to produce an overall score (table A5.1)

Section	QSE	Points available
1	Documents and Records	28
2	Management Reviews	14
3	Organization and Personnel	22
4	Client Management and Customer Service	10
5	Equipment	35
6	Internal Audit	15
7	Purchasing and Inventory	24
8	Process Control and Internal and External Quality	32
	Assessment	

Table A5.1: Scoring of 12 QSE

9	Information Management	21
10	Corrective Action	19
11	Occurrence Management and Process Improvement	12
12	Facilities and Safety	43
	Total	275

Following the audit a list of errors (non-conformities) are presented to the laboratory and six weeks are given to allow the laboratory to present evidence that the non-conformities have been addressed. For serious non-conformities a follow up audit may be required. The laboratory will then be rescored and a star rating given and a certificate of recognition issued, valid for 2 years. This certificate does not equate to any type of accreditation.

Table A5.2: SLIPTA star grading

Grade	0 star	1 star	2 star	3 star	4 star	5 star
Score	0-150	151-177	178-205	206-232	233-260	261-275